



University of Southern Denmark

Investigation and outcomes in patients with nonspecific pleuritis results from the International Collaborative Effusion database

Sundaralingam, Anand; Aujayeb, Avinash; Jackson, Karl A; Pellas, Emilia I; Khan, Irfan I; Chohan, Muhammad T; Joosten, Roos; Boersma, Anton; Kerkhoff, Jordy; Bielsa, Silvia; Porcel, Jose M; Rozman, Ales; Marc-Malovrh, Mateja; Welch, Hugh; Symonds, Jenny; Anevllavis, Stavros; Froudakis, Marios; Mei, Federico; Zuccatosta, Lina; Gasparini, Stefano; Gonnelli, Francesca; Dhaliwal, Inderdeep; Mitchell, Michael A; Fjaellegaard, Katrine; Petersen, Jesper K; Ellayeh, Mohamed; Rahman, Najib M; Burden, Tom; Bødtger, Uffe; Koegelenberg, Coenraad F N; Maskell, Nick A; Janssen, Julius; Bhatnagar, Rahul

Published in:
ERJ Open Research

DOI:
10.1183/23120541.00599-2022

Publication date:
2023

Document version:
Final published version

Document license:
CC BY-NC

Citation for pulished version (APA):
Sundaralingam, A., Aujayeb, A., Jackson, K. A., Pellas, E. I., Khan, I. I., Chohan, M. T., Joosten, R., Boersma, A., Kerkhoff, J., Bielsa, S., Porcel, J. M., Rozman, A., Marc-Malovrh, M., Welch, H., Symonds, J., Anevllavis, S., Froudakis, M., Mei, F., Zuccatosta, L., ... Bhatnagar, R. (2023). Investigation and outcomes in patients with nonspecific pleuritis: results from the International Collaborative Effusion database. *ERJ Open Research*, 9(2), Article 00599-2022. <https://doi.org/10.1183/23120541.00599-2022>

Go to publication entry in University of Southern Denmark's Research Portal

Terms of use

This work is brought to you by the University of Southern Denmark.
Unless otherwise specified it has been shared according to the terms for self-archiving.
If no other license is stated, these terms apply:

- You may download this work for personal use only.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying this open access version

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details and we will investigate your claim.
Please direct all enquiries to puresupport@bib.sdu.dk



Investigation and outcomes in patients with nonspecific pleuritis: results from the International Collaborative Effusion database

Anand Sundaralingam¹, Avinash Aujayeb², Karl A. Jackson², Emilia I. Pellas², Irfan I. Khan², Muhammad T. Chohan², Roos Joosten³, Anton Boersma³, Jordy Kerkhoff³, Silvia Bielsa⁴, Jose M. Porcel⁴, Ales Rozman⁵, Mateja Marc-Malovrh⁶, Hugh Welch⁷, Jenny Symonds⁷, Stavros Anevlavis⁸, Marios Froudakis⁸, Federico Mei⁹, Lina Zuccatosta⁹, Stefano Gasparini⁹, Francesca Gonnelli⁹, Inderdeep Dhaliwal¹⁰, Michael A. Mitchell¹⁰, Katrine Fjaellegaard¹¹, Jesper K. Petersen¹¹, Mohamed Ellayeh¹², Najib M. Rahman¹³, Tom Burden¹⁴, Uffe Bodtger¹¹, Coenraad F.N. Koegelenberg¹⁵, Nick A. Maskell¹⁶, Julius Janssen³ and Rahul Bhatnagar¹⁶

¹Oxford Pleural Unit, Oxford Centre for Respiratory Medicine, Oxford, UK. ²Department of Respiratory Medicine, Northumbria Healthcare NHS Trust, North Shields, UK. ³Department of Pulmonary Diseases B70, Canisius Wilhelmina Ziekenhuis, Nijmegen, The Netherlands. ⁴Pleural Medicine Unit, Department of Internal Medicine, Arnau de Vilanova University Hospital, IRB Lleida, Lleida, Spain. ⁵Faculty of Medicine, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia. ⁶University Clinic Golnik, Golnik, Slovenia. ⁷Department of Respiratory Medicine, Southmead Hospital, Bristol, UK. ⁸Democritus University of Thrace, University Hospital of Alexandroupolis, Alexandroupolis, Greece. ⁹Respiratory Disease Unit, Department of Internal Medicine, University Hospital, Ancona, Italy. ¹⁰Department of Medicine, Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, Western University, London, ON, Canada. ¹¹Respiratory Research Unit PLUZ, Department of Respiratory Medicine, Zealand University Hospital, Naestved, Denmark. ¹²Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt. ¹³Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences (CAMS) Oxford Institute (COI), Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK. ¹⁴Respiratory Medicine Department, Royal Devon and Exeter Hospital, Exeter, UK. ¹⁵Division of Pulmonology, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa. ¹⁶Academic Respiratory Unit, Bristol Medical School: Translational Health Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK.

Corresponding author: Anand Sundaralingam (Anand.Sundaralingam@ouh.nhs.uk)



Shareable abstract (@ERSpublications)

In this multicentre observational study of nonspecific pleuritis, this finding was attributed to idiopathic causes in 44% of cases. Eventual malignancy was observed in 6%. Asbestos exposure and imaging features may be predictive of this eventual outcome. <https://bit.ly/3vYc7TL>

Cite this article as: Sundaralingam A, Aujayeb A, Jackson KA, *et al.* Investigation and outcomes in patients with nonspecific pleuritis: results from the International Collaborative Effusion database. *ERJ Open Res* 2023; 9: 00599-2022 [DOI: 10.1183/23120541.00599-2022].

Copyright ©The authors 2023

This version is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Licence 4.0. For commercial reproduction rights and permissions contact permissions@ersnet.org

Received: 8 Nov 2022
Accepted: 4 Jan 2023



Abstract

Introduction We present findings from the International Collaborative Effusion database, a European Respiratory Society clinical research collaboration. Nonspecific pleuritis (NSP) is a broad term that describes chronic pleural inflammation. Various aetiologies lead to NSP, which poses a diagnostic challenge for clinicians. A significant proportion of patients with this finding eventually develop a malignant diagnosis.

Methods 12 sites across nine countries contributed anonymised data on 187 patients. 175 records were suitable for analysis.

Results The commonest aetiology for NSP was recorded as idiopathic (80 out of 175, 44%). This was followed by pleural infection (15%), benign asbestos disease (12%), malignancy (6%) and cardiac failure (6%). The malignant diagnoses were predominantly mesothelioma (six out of 175, 3.4%) and lung adenocarcinoma (four out of 175, 2.3%). The median time to malignant diagnosis was 12.2 months (range 0.8–32 months). There was a signal towards greater asbestos exposure in the malignant NSP group compared to the benign group (0.63 *versus* 0.27, $p=0.07$). Neither recurrence of effusion requiring further therapeutic intervention nor initial biopsy approach were associated with a false-negative biopsy. A computed tomography finding of a mass lesion was the only imaging feature to demonstrate a significant association (0.18 *versus* 0.01, $p=0.02$), although sonographic pleural thickening also suggested an association (0.27 *versus* 0.09, $p=0.09$).

Discussion This is the first multicentre study of NSP and its associated outcomes. While some of our findings are reflected by the established body of literature, other findings have highlighted important areas for future research, not previously studied in NSP.

Introduction

The first investigative step in a pleural effusion is usually pleural fluid analysis, followed by pleural biopsies if the fluid is nondiagnostic. Thoracoscopic biopsies of the parietal pleura are considered the gold standard in the diagnosis of exudative pleural effusions, with a reported diagnostic yield of >95% [1]. Unlike granulomatous pleuritis, malignant pleuritis or pleural vasculitis, which show distinctive histological findings that are pathognomonic for their respective diagnoses, nonspecific pleuritis (NSP; also known as fibrinous pleuritis) is a general term that describes chronic pleural inflammation and can represent a multitude of different aetiologies. Various datasets have described a finding of NSP following pleural biopsy, with a mean incidence of 40% (95% CI 38–41%) [2–15].

The pathophysiological mechanisms underpinning NSP are poorly understood, and it may simply represent a final common inflammation or fibrotic pathway. There are no prospective databases on NSP, and all the evidence so far is based on single-centre, retrospective studies. There is no consensus from experts or societies on the optimal approach to diagnostics, monitoring or management of NSP [16]. Based on retrospective case series data, 48% (95% CI 45–50%) of cases with histological NSP are thought to represent idiopathic NSP [2–8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 17–19], and the rest can be attributed to heart failure, asbestos, autoimmune causes, pulmonary embolism and drugs.

A particular conundrum following an NSP finding is whether it represents “true negative” result, particularly when an underlying malignant process is suspected. NSP may represent inadequacies in the biopsy technique or may histologically reflect an early-stage process in the development towards malignancy (*i.e.* cancer that is not yet “diagnosable”): when frank cancerous changes are neither visible (due to excessive fibrin deposition), or accessible *via* thoracoscopy (due to adhesions and a limited procedural view), inevitable sampling of the paramalignant areas may yield NSP, instead of a malignant finding.

Across existing datasets, 8% (95% CI 7–10%) of cases, with a median time to evolution of 6 months (interquartile range (IQR) 2–8 months), across a follow-up period ranging from 18 to 143 months, are ultimately diagnosed with malignancy [3–5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13–15, 17–21].

To further add to the understanding of outcomes in NSP, we performed a multicentre retrospective cohort study, to collect data on NSP across a number of centres, encompassing both geographic diversity and differences in practice.

Methods

Approvals and entry criteria

Where required by local laws, participating centres obtained ethical approval to access and share anonymised data that had been collected as part of routine clinical care at their centre. Sites were asked to retrospectively screen local hospital records to identify patients, who were eligible for inclusion if they had been given a diagnosis of NSP between 2009 and 2020.

Definitions of NSP

Histology reported as, or a variation of one of the following: reactive fibrous pleural thickening, fibrinous pleurisy, fibrosis, florid reactive change, fibrous connective tissue, chronic inflammation, benign change or dense fibrous tissue in the absence of malignant pleural infiltration, granulomata, pleural vasculitis or evidence of bacterial infection [11, 16].

Data collection

Data were collected retrospectively as part of the International Collaborative Effusion (ICE) database project, a European Respiratory Society (ERS) clinical research collaboration which was launched in 2017. The methodology behind the creation of the database has been described in detail previously [22]. In brief, research questions and data points to be collected were agreed as part of a multistage collaborative process involving all members of the ICE project, led by a smaller ICE database group (U. Boddiger, C.F. Koegelenberg, N.A. Maskell, J. Janssen, R. Bhatnagar).

The study database used REDCap (Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA), an electronic data capture tool, hosted at University of Bristol (Bristol, UK) [23, 24].

Research questions

The following research questions were proposed by the ICE database group relating to NSP:

- 1) What are the relative contributions of the various aetiologies ultimately identified during the long-term follow-up of patients initially diagnosed with NSP?
- 2) How many patients with an initial diagnosis of NSP developed malignancy during subsequent follow-up (commencing from date of biopsy)?
- 3) What is the time to development of malignancy in NSP (commencing from date of biopsy)?
- 4) What is the minimal safe follow-up time of NSP to exclude malignant pleural effusion as an eventual diagnosis?

A number of further exploratory outcomes were proposed, centred around features associated with false-negative biopsies.

Sites reviewed individual patient clinical records to obtain data on demographics, clinical and radiological features, procedural information and final diagnosis, as determined by the treating physician with or without local multidisciplinary team (MDT) consensus.

Statistical analysis

The database was analysed using SPSS Statistics (version 28.0; SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA)

Parametric data are expressed as mean \pm SD, while nonparametric data are expressed as median (IQR). Fisher's exact test was used to compare categorical variables including relationships between the patient's demography, radiological features, procedural factors and eventual diagnosis, specifically focusing on predictors for false-negative NSP results. All reported p-values were two-sided, and effects were considered significant if $p < 0.05$.

Missing data

Complete case analysis was performed.

Results

In total, 187 cases were submitted for inclusion in the NSP dataset by 12 centres, across nine countries, between October 2019 and July 2021. Following data interrogation and correspondence with those centres, 12 cases were removed due to mislabelling as NSP. The remaining 175 cases were analysed in line with the research questions listed earlier.

Demographics and aetiology of NSP

The median age was 72 years (IQR 62–75 years) and 142 (81%) were male. 93 (53%) reported a smoking history, of whom 27 (15%) were current smokers and 52 (30%) reported asbestos exposure. The median length of follow-up was 18 months (range 1–80 months). Table 1 highlights the baseline characteristics of the study population and the eventual aetiologies diagnosed.

The commonest aetiology of NSP was idiopathic (44%). This was followed by pleural infection (15%), benign asbestos-related disease (12%), malignancy (6%) and cardiac failure (6%). A small number of patients had dual aetiologies contributing to their presentation with NSP (3.4%). Table 2 provides outcome data for the 80 cases of "idiopathic" NSP.

Pleural infection as a cause of NSP

In the patients with pleural infection as the cause of their NSP ($n=27$), five (19%) had developed a parapneumonic effusion in the 6 weeks prior to their NSP biopsy, while three (11%) and one (4%) had developed a frank empyema and tuberculosis pleuritis, respectively. In the remainder ($n=18$, 67%), pleural infection as the cause of the patient's presentation with NSP was a *de novo* finding.

Malignancy following a finding of NSP and associated baseline characteristics

In 11 (6%) patients, a pleural malignancy developed during their follow-up period. All missed diagnoses were found in the local-anaesthetic thoracoscopy (LAT) group. Four (36%) out of 11 cases were lung adenocarcinoma; six (55%) out of 11 were malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM); and the in the remaining case, the cancer type was not known. Five cases of MPM were diagnosed following repeat biopsy (two *via* LAT, two *via* surgical biopsy and one *via* endobronchial ultrasound), with the remainder diagnosed based on radiological progression and MDT consensus. The lung adenocarcinoma cases were diagnosed *via* LAT in two cases and surgical biopsy in one. The remaining case was diagnosed on the

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of the study population

Cases	175
Age years, median (IQR)	72 (62–75)
Male	142 (81)
Smoking status	
Current	27 (15)
Previous	66 (38)
Never	82 (47)
Asbestos exposure	52 (30)
Comorbidities	
Cardiovascular	105 (60)
Respiratory	42 (20)
Gastroenterological/hepatic	18 (10.3)
Renal	11 (6.3)
Malignancy	26 (14.9)
Other	54 (30.9)
Cardiovascular	
Ischaemic heart disease	31 (17.7)
Heart failure	20 (11.4)
Hypertension	74 (42.3)
Atrial fibrillation	42 (24)
Cerebrovascular disease	17 (9.7)
Respiratory	
Asthma	9 (5.1)
COPD	20 (11.4)
Interstitial lung disease	2 (1.1)
Bronchiectasis	1 (0.6)
Tuberculosis	3 (1.7)
Other	
Type 1 diabetes mellitus	1 (0.6)
Type 2 diabetes mellitus	31 (17.7)
Rheumatoid arthritis	8 (4.6)
Connective tissue disease	1 (0.6)
Hypothyroidism	5 (2.9)
Procedure	N=175
Open surgical biopsy	1 (0.6)
VATS biopsy	11 (6.3)
LAT biopsy	136 (77)
US-guided biopsy	18 (10.3)
CT-guided biopsy	5 (2.9)
Abrams (blind)	4 (2.3)
Outcomes	
Eventual pleural-based malignancy	11 (6.3)
Length of follow-up months (n=95)	18 (1–80)
Time to development of malignancy months (n=9)	12.2 (0.8–32)
Eventual aetiology of NSP (n=181)	
No clear cause identified: “idiopathic”	80 (44.2)
Pleural infection	27 (14.9)
Benign asbestos-related	22 (12.2)
Cardiac failure	11 (6.1)
Malignancy	11 (6.1)
Autoimmune	7 (3.9)
Rheumatoid arthritis	4 (2.2)
Drug reaction	4 (2.2)
Post-traumatic	3 (1.7)
Renal failure	2 (1.1)
Occupational exposure (non-asbestos)	2 (1.1)
Haemothorax	2 (1.1)
Post-operative	2 (1.1)
Chronic pancreatitis	1 (0.6)
Thymoma	1 (0.6)
Cirrhotic liver disease	1 (0.6)

Data are presented as n, median (range) or n (%), unless otherwise stated. IQR: interquartile range; VATS: video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery; LAT: local-anaesthetic thoracoscopy; US: ultrasound; CT: computed tomography; NSP: nonspecific pleuritis.

TABLE 2 Outcomes for idiopathic nonspecific pleuritis (NSP) cases

Cases	80
Age years	72 (63–78)
Male	64 (80)
Requiring >1 thoracocentesis following NSP biopsy finding	26/80 (33)
Pleurodesis performed	11/80 (14)
Follow-up performed	76/80 (96)
Duration of follow-up months	24 (12–36)
Deceased	23/80 (29)
Survival months	93.4 (65–109)

Data are presented as n, median (interquartile range), n (%) or n/N (%).

basis of radiological progression and avidity on positron emission tomography computed tomography (PET-CT), following MDT consensus.

Clinical characteristics between the “benign” group and “malignant” group are summarised in table 3. While there was a trend towards greater asbestos exposure in the malignant group compared to the benign disease-course group (63% versus 27%), this did not meet statistical significance (Fisher’s exact test, two-tailed, $p=0.07$). There was no significant association seen with the need for repeat therapeutic interventions and the development of a pleural malignancy, with 51 (32%) out of 159 patients following a benign trajectory requiring more than one thoracocentesis following a biopsy result of NSP, compared to five (46%) out of 11, in those who evolved a malignancy (table 3).

Imaging features and their association with a false-negative NSP result are summarised in table 4. A mass lesion on CT was the only significant association with a false-negative biopsy ($p=0.02$), although there was a signal towards association for pleural thickening visualised on ultrasound.

Time to development of malignancy following a finding of NSP

In patients with an eventual malignant diagnosis, the median time to diagnosing pleural malignancy was 12.2 months. The maximum time to development of malignancy within our dataset was 32 months, while the shortest was just 0.8 months. Separating those who were eventually diagnosed with pleural malignancy into early and late evolvers, with thresholds set by the ERS ICE working group as <3 months and ≥ 3 months, three out of nine were early evolvers while six out of nine were late evolvers. Time to evolution of pleural malignancy was not known in two cases.

A similar analysis comparing the “early” versus “late” evolvers of pleural malignancy revealed no statistically significant results, but did suggest that MPM was the more likely diagnosis among the late progressors (table 5).

TABLE 3 Characteristics and associations between “benign” and eventual malignant aetiologies of nonspecific pleuritis (NSP)

	“Benign” disease course following a histological result of NSP	Eventual malignant aetiology following a histological result of NSP	p-value
Cases	164	11	
Age years	72 (62–78)	76 (68–77)	0.41
Male	133 (81)	9 (81)	1.0
Smoking status			
Current	25 (15)	2 (18)	0.68
Previous	60 (37)	6 (55)	0.34
Asbestos exposure	45 (27)	7 (63)	0.07
History of malignancy	24 (15)	2 (18)	0.67
Requiring >1 thoracocentesis (following NSP biopsy finding)	51/159 (32)	5/11 (46)	0.51
Follow-up performed?	139/164 (85)	11/11 (100)	
Duration of follow-up months	18 (11–33) [#]	22 (5–36) [¶]	0.09
Survival months	67 (32–10) [#]	57 (24–70) [¶]	

Data are presented as n, median (interquartile range), n (%) or n/N (%), unless otherwise stated. [#]: n=87; [¶]: n=8.

TABLE 4 Factors associated with an eventual malignant aetiology

	“Benign” disease course following a histological result of NSP	Eventual malignant aetiology following a histological result of NSP	p-value
Cases	164	11	
Procedural aspects			
Surgery	12/12 (100)	0	0.6
Open	1/125 (1)	0	0.75
VATS	11/125 (9)	0	0.75
LAT	112/125 (90)	11/11 (100)	0.6
Rigid thoracoscopy	63/125 (50)	7/11 (64)	0.75
Semi-rigid thoracoscopy	49/125 (39)	4/11 (36)	0.75
CT features			
Pleural thickening >1 cm	37/164 (23)	5/11 (46)	0.14
Pleural nodules	9/164 (6)	1/11 (9)	0.49
Mass lesion	2/164 (1)	2/11 (18)	0.02
Pleural plaques	16/164 (10)	2/11 (18)	0.31
Pleural effusion	128/164 (78)	6/11 (55)	0.13
Ultrasound features			
Pleural thickening	15/164 (9)	3/11 (27)	0.09
Pleural nodularity	3/164 (2)	0	1
Diaphragmatic thickening	2/164 (1)	0	1
Echogenic effusion	53/164 (32)	4/11 (36)	0.75
PET-CT			
	#	¶	
Pleural thickening	6/18 (33)	0	1
Pleural avidity	9/18 (50)	2/2 (100)	0.48
Extrapleural avidity	2/18 (11)	0	0.88

Data are presented as n or n/N (%), unless otherwise stated. NSP: nonspecific pleuritis; VATS: video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery; LAT: local-anaesthetic thoracoscopy; CT: computed tomography; PET: positron emission tomography. #: n=18; ¶: n=2.

Discussion

We describe the first multicentre, international dataset for NSP, through which we attempt to answer several research questions proposed by the ICE database group.

We demonstrated that NSP was idiopathic in 44% of cases, a rate comparable to existing evidence (mean incidence 48%) [2–8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 17–19]. However, “idiopathic” NSP is difficult to define, as there are >60 known causes for pleural effusions and, therefore, only after exclusion of most or all of these aetiologies should the label be attributed. To date, a minimum standard panel of investigations to further investigate the aetiology of NSP has yet to be agreed upon, so this label is prone to inter-operator and inter-site variability.

TABLE 5 Early versus late evolution of pleural malignancy and associated features

	Evolution to pleural malignancy		p-value
	Early (<3 months)	Late (≥3 months)	
Cases	9		
Malignancy type			
Lung adenocarcinoma	2/3 (67)	1/3 (33)	0.2
Mesothelioma	1/6 (16)	5/6 (83)	
Procedural aspects			
LAT	3/3 (100)	6/6 (100)	
Imaging features			
Any features of malignancy	2/3 (67)	5/6 (83)	1

Data are presented as n or n/N (%), unless otherwise stated. LAT: local-anaesthetic thoracoscopy.

The subsequent diagnosis of a pleural malignancy has been the focus for most NSP studies [3–5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13–15, 17–21]. Our dataset demonstrated an incidence of eventual pleural malignancy following an NSP result of 6% (95% CI 3–11%). This is slightly lower than the rate described in the existing literature: 8% (95% CI 7–10%) [3–5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13–15, 17–21]. All eventual malignant diagnoses were lung cancer or MPM, with the latter more frequently observed. All cases save two were diagnosed histologically. The remaining two cases were diagnosed clinically based on suggestive radiological features and MDT consensus. This highlights an issue around the definitions for what constitutes a malignant effusion as opposed to the less well defined “paramalignant” effusion [13]. The precise definition of malignant pleural disease requires histological or cytological confirmation, but in clinical practice this is not always possible nor appropriate. Often a clinical diagnosis is made based on many factors, including radiological evidence. While a “paramalignant” effusion has been described as an inflammatory pleuritis in the presence of an active malignancy, not explained by other aetiologies, but not due to malignant infiltration of the pleura [13], there clearly exists a degree of overlap between these entities and there are difficulties in differentiating the conditions in clinical practice, which bears consideration and again highlights a need for standardised definitions.

With regards to risk stratification for those who will progress to an eventual malignant diagnosis, at any stage, asbestos exposure was the only baseline characteristic indicating a signal towards association, but not meeting statistical significance. CT appearances of a mass lesion, either within the lung or pleura, were the only imaging features associated with a false-negative biopsy result, which is entirely intuitive. There was a trend towards identifying pleural thickening on ultrasound and an eventual malignant diagnosis, although this was not statistically significant. This finding is entirely consistent with previous work that has shown this feature is highly specific for malignant pleural disease [25]. As a readily performed point-of-care test, this can be of value in the risk stratification of patients with NSP and further reinforces the need for standardisation in both performing thoracic ultrasound, and training therewithin, both key ERS directives [26]. In contrast to other studies that demonstrated recurrence of effusion as a predictor for an underlying malignant process [11], we detected no such associations, with repeat thoracentesis being required equally often in both groups. We suggest that the need for repeat thoracentesis, and by extension, effusion recurrence in NSP, depends entirely upon the prevalence of the different aetiologies driving the condition, rather than a specific feature of malignancy alone. All the false-negative biopsies arose in the LAT group, but interpretation is difficult given how few patients with a surgical biopsy were included in this dataset. Although it is intuitive to think a difficult or incomplete thoracoscopic examination may provide an explanation for false-negative biopsies [10], most thoracoscopists would advocate an alternative biopsy modality if they were faced with a technically challenging procedure and were not reassured they had satisfactorily inspected the pleural cavity, when there is a high pre-test probability for pleural malignancy. The TARGET study aimed to test this hypothesis, by directing patients with an initial nondiagnostic biopsy and ongoing clinical suspicion to PET-CT *versus* usual care [27].

The median time to evolving malignancy was 12.2 months, with a wide range (0.8–32 months). Expert opinion suggests that the follow-up period should be ≥ 24 months and perhaps the minimum period ≥ 12 months. This remains a difficult area to offer fixed recommendations for duration of follow-up. In our dataset, five out of 11 patients developed malignancy within 12 months, with three having done so within 3 months. The most delayed presentation was 32 months. Within the literature, the longest follow-up period after which time pleural malignancy was diagnosed was 64 months [21]. It would be reasonable to surmise that the early progressors are more likely to represent a diagnostic accuracy problem, while the late progressors may truly represent an early or pre-malignant phase that is not diagnosable as a malignant process at time of biopsy, or indeed that they do not have malignancy of any kind, and the finding of NSP is unrelated (particularly relevant in the presence of various comorbidities). Therefore, the early progressors may well represent a genuine false negative following a thoracoscopic or other form of biopsy, while it would not be correct to label the late progressors as such. There are no agreed definitions on what constitutes a false-negative biopsy, and whether this should take the form of an arbitrary time interval, or a more nuanced set of criteria (*e.g.* progressive radiological features, onset of “red flag” symptoms within a defined time period). While it would be clinically useful to be able to differentiate between those who have truly benign disease from those who are likely to progress, it would be equally useful to risk-stratify the early developers from the late, to offer a more personalised follow-up plan.

There was little to differentiate between the two groups in our dataset, although we did observe that the late evolvers were often MPM and this would be entirely consistent with the natural history of MPM, with both a long latency from initial asbestos exposure and the recognition now of “mesothelioma *in situ*”, indicating a pre-invasive stage of disease [28]. As the research landscape evolves, we will understand the relationship between this precursor lesion and “reactive atypical mesothelial hyperplasia”, a histological

finding often encountered in NSP [29, 30]. With a general consensus of 3–6-monthly follow-up with regular cross-sectional imaging for a 12–24-month period, nine out of 11 of our cases of evolving pleural malignancy would have been captured, while two would have been missed. In planning follow-up for patients, clinicians should be open about the diagnostic uncertainties they are faced with and should settle on an appropriate follow-up schedule through a process of shared decision-making that is tailored to the patient. It would not be unreasonable to offer a more prolonged follow-up in those in whom a diagnosis of MPM is suspected as opposed to an alternative malignancy, which is likely to manifest earlier. As with other screening interventions, it may be helpful for clinicians and patients to understand the concept of “number needed to follow-up” (NNF) to diagnose one malignancy. In the largest series on NSP, by REUTER *et al.* [15], the NNF to identify one malignancy during the first year was demonstrated to be 18, getting less effective with increasing time (NNF of 260 between years 1 and 3).

There are a number of weaknesses to this study. As with all retrospective cohort studies, incomplete data and variation in the definitions used by participating sites for data entry does affect data integrity and results in significant inter- and intra-site heterogeneity. This is clearly evident in the assigned aetiologies ascribed to NSP and there may well have been significant differences in the pathological tests and criteria used for diagnosis of NSP between centres, which this study is not able to account for. A prospective study with standardised definitions, an agreed minimal panel of both clinical and pathological tests, alongside an independent pathology review following an NSP finding may overcome this. This may be particularly relevant in the diagnosis of MPM, where BAP-1 and p16 testing, in conjunction with other investigations, have proven to be instrumental in securing a diagnosis of MPM [28], which our study did not specifically look at. The study was underpowered for a number of the questions it was intended to explore. This was largely in part due to the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic, which severely disrupted participating centres’ ability to contribute to the study, but may also have been impeded by recruiting participants primarily from clinical databases accessed by pulmonologists rather than pathology databases, contributing to selection bias. Additionally, the event rate of eventual pleural malignancy was lower than expected, and therefore, much of the intended hypothesis testing based on our research questions failed to meet statistical significance, although associations worthy of further research were shown.

This is the first multicentre study on NSP and its outcomes, enabled through the ERS ICE research collaborative. As well as providing additional knowledge regarding NSP, we have demonstrated that international centres with an interest in pleural disease can contribute data to better study under-researched areas and generate ideas for future areas of research.

Provenance: Submitted article, peer reviewed.

Conflict of interest: J.M. Porcel is an associate editor of this journal. C.F.N. Koegelenberg declares honoraria for lectures from AstraZeneca and GlaxoSmithKline, in the 36 months prior to manuscript submission. All other authors declare no competing interests.

References

- 1 Rahman NM, Ali N, Brown G, *et al.* Local anaesthetic thoracoscopy: British Thoracic Society pleural disease guideline 2010. *Thorax* 2010; 65: Suppl. 2, ii54–ii60.
- 2 Boutin C, Viallat JR, Cargnino P, *et al.* Thoracoscopy in malignant pleural effusions. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1981; 124: 588–592.
- 3 Page RD, Jeffrey RR, Donnelly RJ. Thoracoscopy: a review of 121 consecutive surgical procedures. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1989; 48: 66–68.
- 4 Hucker J, Bhatnagar NK, al-Jilaihawi AN, *et al.* Thoracoscopy in the diagnosis and management of recurrent pleural effusions. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1991; 52: 1145–1147.
- 5 Menzies R, Charbonneau M. Thoracoscopy for the diagnosis of pleural disease. *Ann Intern Med* 1991; 114: 271–276.
- 6 Ohri SK, Oswal SK, Townsend ER, *et al.* Early and late outcome after diagnostic thoracoscopy and talc pleurodesis. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1992; 53: 1038–1041.
- 7 Kendall SW, Bryan AJ, Large SR, *et al.* Pleural effusions: is thoracoscopy a reliable investigation? A retrospective review. *Respir Med* 1992; 86: 437–440.
- 8 Hansen M, Faurshou P, Clementsen P. Medical thoracoscopy, results and complications in 146 patients: a retrospective study. *Respir Med* 1998; 92: 228–232.
- 9 Blanc F-X, Atassi K, Bignon J, *et al.* Diagnostic value of medical thoracoscopy in pleural disease: a 6-year retrospective study. *Chest* 2002; 121: 1677–1683.

- 10 Janssen JP, Ramlal S, Mravunac M. The long-term follow up of exudative pleural effusion after nondiagnostic thoracoscopy. *J Bronchol* 2004; 11: 169–174.
- 11 Davies HE, Nicholson JE, Rahman NM, et al. Outcome of patients with nonspecific pleuritis/fibrosis on thoroscopic pleural biopsies. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2010; 38: 472–477.
- 12 Metintas M, Ak G, Cadirci O, et al. Outcome of patients diagnosed with fibrinous pleuritis after medical thoracoscopy. *Respir Med* 2012; 106: 1177–1183.
- 13 Vakil E, Ost D, Vial MR, et al. Non-specific pleuritis in patients with active malignancy. *Respirology* 2018; 23: 213–219.
- 14 Yu Y-X, Yang Y, Wu Y-B, et al. An update of the long-term outcome of patients with nonspecific pleurisy at medical thoracoscopy. *BMC Pulm Med* 2021; 21: 226.
- 15 Reuter SB, Clementsen PF, Bodtger U. Incidence of malignancy and survival in patients with idiopathic pleuritis. *J Thorac Dis* 2019; 11: 386–392.
- 16 Kapp C, Janssen J, Maldonado F, et al. Nonspecific pleuritis. In: Maskell NA, Laursen CB, Lee YCG, et al., eds. *Pleural Disease* (ERS Monograph). Sheffield, European Respiratory Society, 2020; pp. 211–217.
- 17 Venekamp LN, Velkeniers B, Noppen M. Does ‘idiopathic pleuritis’ exist? Natural history of non-specific pleuritis diagnosed after thoracoscopy. *Respiration* 2005; 72: 74–78.
- 18 DePew ZS, Verma A, Wigle D, et al. Nonspecific pleuritis: optimal duration of follow-up. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2014; 97: 1867–1871.
- 19 Gunluoglu G, Olcmen A, Gunluoglu MZ, et al. Long-term outcome of patients with undiagnosed pleural effusion. *Arch Bronconeumol* 2015; 51: 632–636.
- 20 Ferrer JS, Muñoz XG, Orriols RM, et al. Evolution of idiopathic pleural effusion: a prospective, long-term follow-up study. *Chest* 1996; 109: 1508–1513.
- 21 Karpathiou G, Anevclavis S, Tiffet O, et al. Clinical long-term outcome of non-specific pleuritis (NSP) after surgical or medical thoracoscopy. *J Thorac Dis* 2020; 12: 2096–2104.
- 22 Bhatnagar R, Janssen J, Maskell N. The International Collaborative Effusion (ICE) database: an ERS Clinical Research Collaboration. *Eur Respir J* 2019; 53: 1900591.
- 23 Harris PA, Taylor R, Minor BL, et al. The REDCap consortium: building an international community of software platform partners. *J Biomed Inform* 2019; 95: 103208.
- 24 Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, et al. Research electronic data capture (REDCap) – a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inform* 2009; 42: 377–381.
- 25 Qureshi NR, Rahman NM, Gleeson FV. Thoracic ultrasound in the diagnosis of malignant pleural effusion. *Thorax* 2009; 64: 139–143.
- 26 Laursen CB, Clive A, Hallifax R, et al. European Respiratory Society statement on thoracic ultrasound. *Eur Respir J* 2021; 57: 2001519.
- 27 de Fonseca D, Underwood W, Staddon L, et al. Randomised controlled trial to compare the diagnostic yield of positron emission tomography CT (PET-CT) TARGETed pleural biopsy versus CT-guided pleural biopsy in suspected pleural malignancy (TARGET trial). *BMJ Open Respir Res* 2018; 5: e000270.
- 28 Sauter JL, Dacic S, Galateau-Salle F, et al. The 2021 WHO classification of tumors of the pleura: advances since the 2015 classification. *J Thorac Oncol* 2022; 17: 608–622.
- 29 Karpathiou G, Stefanou D, Froudarakis ME. Pleural neoplastic pathology. *Respir Med* 2015; 109: 931–943.
- 30 Ferguson K, Blyth K, Tsim S, et al. An update regarding the Meso-ORIGINS feasibility study and the PREDICT-Meso Accelerator Network. *Lung Cancer* 2020; 139: Suppl. 1, S71–S72.