

Effects of recreational team handball on bone health, postural balance and body composition in inactive postmenopausal women - A randomised controlled trial

Pereira, Rita; Krustrup, Peter; Castagna, Carlo; Coelho, Eduardo; Santos, Rute; Helge, Eva Wulff; Jørgensen, Niklas Rye; Magalhães, José; Póvoas, Susana

Published in: Bone

DOI: 10.1016/j.bone.2021.115847

Publication date: 2021

Document version: Accepted manuscript

Document license: CC BY-NC-ND

Citation for pulished version (APA):

Pereira, R., Krustrup, P., Castagna, C., Coelho, E., Santos, R., Helge, E. W., Jørgensen, N. R., Magalhães, J., & Póvoas, S. (2021). Effects of recreational team handball on bone health, postural balance and body composition in inactive postmenopausal women - A randomised controlled trial. Bone, 145, Article 115847. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2021.115847

Go to publication entry in University of Southern Denmark's Research Portal

Terms of use

This work is brought to you by the University of Southern Denmark. Unless otherwise specified it has been shared according to the terms for self-archiving. If no other license is stated, these terms apply:

- You may download this work for personal use only.
 You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- · You may freely distribute the URL identifying this open access version

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details and we will investigate your claim. Please direct all enquiries to puresupport@bib.sdu.dk

Effects of recreational team handball on bone health, postural balance and body composition in inactive postmenopausal women - A randomised controlled trial



Rita Pereira, Peter Krustrup, Carlo Castagna, Eduardo Coelho, Rute Santos, Eva Wulff Helge, Niklas Rye Jørgensen, José Magalhães, Susana Póvoas

PII:	S8756-3282(21)00009-0
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2021.115847
Reference:	BON 115847
To appear in:	Bone
Received date:	26 October 2020
Revised date:	10 January 2021
Accepted date:	11 January 2021

Please cite this article as: R. Pereira, P. Krustrup, C. Castagna, et al., Effects of recreational team handball on bone health, postural balance and body composition in inactive postmenopausal women - A randomised controlled trial, *Bone* (2021), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2021.115847

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2021 Published by Elsevier.

Title of the Article:

Effects of recreational team handball on bone health, postural balance and body composition in inactive postmenopausal women - A randomised controlled trial Authors:

Rita Pereira^{1,2}, Peter Krustrup^{3,4,5}, Carlo Castagna^{6,7}, Eduardo Coelho⁸, Rute Santos^{1,9}, Eva Wulff Helge¹⁰, Niklas Rye Jørgensen^{11,12}, José Magalhães^{1,2}, Susana Póvoas^{3,13} Authors' Affiliations:

¹Research Centre in Physical Activity, Health and Leisure, Faculty of Sport, University of Porto, Porto, Portugal.

² LaMetEx, Research Centre in Physical Activity, Health and Leisure (CIAFEL), Department of Sports Biology, Faculty of Sport, University of Porto, Porto, Portugal.

³ Department of Sports Science and Clinical Biomechanics, SDU Sport and Health Sciences Cluster (SHSC), University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark.

⁴ Sport and Health Sciences, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK.

⁵ Shanghai University of Sport (SUS), Shanghai, China.

⁶ Fitness Training and Biomechanics Laboratory, Italian Foot, all) ederation (FIGC),

Technical Department, Coverciano (Florence), Italy.

⁷ University of Roma Tor Vergata, Rome, Italy.

⁸ Porto Sports Medicine Center (IPDJ, IP).

⁹ Directorate-General of Health, National Physical A tivity Promotion Program, Lisbon, Portugal.

¹⁰ Department of Nutrition, Exercise and Sooks (NEXS), University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark.

¹¹ Department of Clinical Biochemistry Copurhagen University Hospital, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark.

¹² Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark.

¹³ Research Center in Sports Sciences, Malth Sciences and Human Development (CIDESD), University Institute of Maia, ISMAL, 14 Lia, Portugal.

Contact Details for the Correspo. ding Author:

Susana Cristina Araújo Póvoas, Instituto Universitário da Maia - ISMAI, Av. Carlos Oliveira Campos - Castêlo da Maia, 4475-690 Avioso S. Pedro, Portugal, Email: spovoas@ismai.pt Abstract

This study reports the effects of a recreational team handball exercise programme (randomised controlled tr al, RCT) on bone health, postural balance and body composition in untrained postmenopausal women without previous experience of the sport. Sixty-seven postmenopausal women without previous experience of team handball practice (68.3 ± 6.2 years, stature 156.9 ± 5.8 cm, body mass 65.6 ± 9.6 kg, body fat $40.9\pm5.9\%$, VO_{2peak} 25.2 ± 3.6 mL·min^{-1.}kg⁻¹) were randomised into team handball (THG, n=41) and control (CG, n=26) groups. During the 16-week intervention period, THG performed two to three 60-min training sessions per week, while CG continued with their habitual physical activity. Bone mineral density (BMD) and content (BMC), biochemical bone formation (osteocalcin (OC), procollagen type-1 amino-terminal propeptide (P1NP)) and resorption markers (carboxy-terminal type-1 collagen crosslinks (CTX)), postural balance, body fat and lean mass were

evaluated at baseline and post intervention. A time x group interaction ($p \le 0.02$) was shown for lumbar spine BMD (+1.5%) and BMC (+2.3%), P1NP (+37.6±42.4%), OC (+41.9±27.0%) and postural balance (-7±37% falls), in favour of THG with no changes in CG. This RCT showed that short-term recreational team handball practice had an impact on bone turnover and was effective for improving bone health and postural balance in postmenopausal women without previous experience of the sport, hence potentially helping to reduce the risk of falls and fractures.

Keywords: team sports; intermittent exercise; bone content; bone metabolism; falls; menopause.

Abbreviations

ANCOVA analysis of covariance ANOVA analysis of variance BMC bone mineral content BMD bone mineral density CG control group CI confidence interval CTX carboxy-terminal type-1 collagen crossliv is DXA dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry EDTA ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid HR heart rate HR_{max} maximal heart rate iSYS immunoassay system OC osteocalcin PA physical activity P1NP procollagen type-1 aminc terminal propeptide RCT randomised controlled ... al RER respiratory exchange ratio SD standard deviation SPSS Statistical Package for the Social Sciences THG team handball group maximal oxygen uptake VO_{2max} VO_{2peak} peak oxygen uptake YYIE1 Yo-Yo intermittent endurance test level 1

1. Introduction

Menopause is defined as the cessation of menses and occurs at a median age of 51 years [1], with women spending nearly one third of their lives in this irreversible condition. Both menopause and ageing involve hormonal changes, and menopause is characterised by a decrease in oestrogens levels [1, 2] that negatively impacts body composition by increasing body fat [3] and decreasing lean and bone mass [2], and ultimately increasing the risk of musculoskeletal disorders, such as sarcopenia and osteoporosis. These disorders are known to

be associated with cardiovascular disease [4, 5], and to potentiate the risk of falls [6] and fractures [7], whereas higher lean mass [8] and lower body fat [9, 10] are associated with a decreased risk of fractures in postmenopausal women. Given that falls and fractures are associated with an increased risk of morbidity and mortality [6, 11], exercise programmes namely aerobic, resistance and flexibility training have been considered as effective strategies for counteracting these adverse health conditions and menopause-related morbidities [12]. However, despite the effectiveness of traditional exercise modes, other options, such as team sports, which combine aerobic and anaerobic training, seem to show a positive modulatory impact on various health-related parameters [13]. For instance, recreational football offers a multicomponent training approach that has the potential to act on a range of health and fitness aspects, being as effective for decreasing body fat and increasing lean and bone mass as aerobic and strength training separately [14]. Moreover, recreational team handball, which physical and physiological demands are similar to recreational football, has been shown to positively affect body composition and bone health is young men [15] and women [16] by increasing muscle mass, femur bone mineral a nsity (BMD), whole-body bone mineral content (BMC) and bone formation markers and decreasing body fat. It has also proven effective for improving physical fitners (e.g. balance) in adult/middle-aged men with previous experience of the sport [17]. In addition, it has recently been shown that recreational handball is effective for improving a robic performance and cardiorespiratory fitness in postmenopausal women [18]. Nonechetess, the effects of recreational team handball on bone health and fall prevention in pustmenopausal women have not yet been addressed. So, to the best of our knowledge, this is he first study to evaluate the effects of a recreational team handball-based exercise programme (randomised controlled trial, RCT) on bone health, postural balance and boa composition in inactive postmenopausal women without previous experience of the sport.

2. Methods

2.1.Participants

Eighty inactive postmenopausal women aged 49–79 years with menopause for at least 3 years and without medical contraindications to perform moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (PA) were recruited to this study (Fig. 1). Nine participants dropped out for personal reasons, three before the baseline evaluations and six immediately afterwards. Seventy-one participants without previous experience of the sport were randomly allocated to team handball (THG) and control (CG) groups. After randomisation, two CG participants dropped out for personal reasons and one was excluded from the analysis because she had enrolled in

another exercise programme. In THG, one participant was not able to start the exercise programme at the set date and complete the 16-week programme for personal reasons and was thus excluded from the analysis. The final sample therefore comprised sixty-seven participants (THG: n=41, age 67.3 \pm 6.5 years, stature 156.7 \pm 6.2 cm, body mass 66.9 \pm 9.7 kg, body fat 41.3 \pm 5.3%, VO_{2peak} 25.8 \pm 3.3 mL⁻min⁻¹·kg⁻¹; CG: n=26, age 69.9 \pm 5.4 years, stature 157.1 \pm 5.1 cm, body mass 63.5 \pm 9.3 kg, body fat 40.3 \pm 6.8%, VO_{2peak} 24.5 \pm 3.6 mL⁻min⁻¹·kg⁻¹) who performed baseline and post-intervention evaluations at 16 weeks.

Sample size estimation for the main outcome (maximal oxygen uptake (VO_{2max})) of this RCT was performed for a sample power of 95% with an effect size of 0.25 at a significance level of 5% and for a dropout rate of 20% [18]. The results presented here therefore represent an analysis of the secondary outcomes (3M-), BMC, biochemical bone markers, postural balance and body mass, body fat and lean mass) of this RCT. All participants signed a written informed consent providing information about the purpose of the study and its potential risks and benefits, and stating that they could withdraw at any time without penalty. The present study followed and Declaration of Helsinki, and the local Institutional Review Board provided ethical $a_{\rm E}$ proval.

Figure 1 here

2.2. Study design

This was a randomised control.¹ d trial. The participants were evaluated at baseline and post intervention (16 weeks) for body mass, body fat, lean mass, BMD, BMC, biochemical bone turnover markers and postur il balance. After the baseline evaluations, the participants were stratified by peak oxyg n uptake (VO_{2peak}) and randomly allocated (3:2 ratio, due to potentially higher drop-out in the intervention group than in the control group) to THG (n=41) and CG (n=26). THG underwent a 16-week recreational team handball intervention involving two to three 60-min sessions per week. CG was instructed to continue with their habitual daily PA. THG was also instructed to continue with their habitual PA in addition to the PA related to the intervention programme.

2.3.Measures and test procedures

All the participants were evaluated at baseline and at 16 weeks post intervention. The evaluations were performed on two separate days. The participants were instructed to have a light meal before the evaluations, except before the blood sampling, which was performed in the morning after an overnight fast (at least 8 hours). No exhausting PA was allowed in the

48 hours before testing. PA levels aside from those related to the exercise intervention were measured using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire [19].

Evaluation day 1

Body mass, stature and body composition were measured with the participants in light clothes and without shoes. Stature (rounded to the nearest 0.1 cm) was measured with a portable stadiometer (Seca 213, Hamburg, Germany) with the participant in an upright position, quiet, facing forwards with their arms hanging freely [20]. Body mass (kg), body fat (%) and lean mass (kg) were determined by whole-body dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA; Hologic Explorer QDR, Hologic Inc., Belford, MA, USA) following standard procedures. Lumbar spine and dominant femur BMD and BMC were determined by A gional scanning.

Cardiorespiratory fitness was assessed using a standardised incremental treadmill (H/PHCosmos, Quasar, Germany) protocol [21]. Oxyge, up ake and respiratory exchange ratio (RER) were determined by pulmonary gas exchange measurements (Oxycon Pro Metabolic Cart, Jaeger, CareFusion, Germany). $VO_{2p-\kappa}$ was considered as the highest 15-second mean value. During the test, the participants' heart rate (HR) was continuously monitored with a heart-rate monitor (Polar Wearlink, Kempele, Finland). Age-predicted maximal HR (HR_{max}) was determined by the formula 208 – (age x 0.7) [21]. The test stopped at the participant's voluntary exhaustion and the results were considered as VO_{2peak} if two of the following criteria were met: RFR \geq 1.1; HR_{max} \geq 85% of age-predicted HR_{max}; failure of oxygen consumption to increase with uncreased exercise intensity [21].

Evaluation day 2

To assess the plasma concentration of biochemical bone turnover markers, blood samples were drawn by a trained technician. A 6-mL blood sample was collected in a tube containing ethylenediaminetetraaceth acid (EDTA) and centrifuged. Plasma was pipetted and frozen at -80°C for subsequent analysis of osteocalcin (OC), procollagen type-1 amino-terminal propeptide (P1NP) and carboxy-terminal type-1 collagen crosslinks (CTX). The markers were analysed by a chemiluminescence method using a fully automated immunoassay system, (iSYS; Immunodiagnostic System Ltd., Boldon, UK). Assay performance was verified using the manufacturer's control specimens. The intermediary precisions expressed as coefficients of variation for CTX were 5.3% (at CTX concentration 213 ng/L), 3.4% (869 ng/L) and 3.5% (2,113 ng/L) for iSYS. For P1NP, the intermediary precisions were 5.4% (18.96 μ g/L), 6.5% (48.48 μ g/L) and 6.1% (122.10 μ g/L) for iSYS. For OC, the intermediary precisions were 3.0% (8.73 μ g/L), 3.6% (27.6 μ g/L) and 3.5% (68.7 μ g/L).

After the blood was drawn, the participants had their regular breakfast. Nearly 30 min

later, they performed a single-leg flamingo test to assess postural balance [22]. In this test, the participants had to stand on their dominant leg on a 3-cm-wide and 5-cm-high bar for 1 min. Every time the participant lost their balance, the time was paused until balance was regained. The non-dominant leg was flexed at the knee joint. The number of falls during the defined time was recorded.

Afterwards, the participants performed the Yo-Yo intermittent endurance test level 1 (YYIE1), preceded by a 10-min warm-up of running at different velocities with changes of direction. HR during the test was assessed [23].

2.4. Exercise programme

THG performed two to three weekly sessions of a recreational war handball-based exercise programme for 16 weeks, with a rest period of at least 48 hours between sessions. Each session lasted 60 min and consisted of a standardi ed warm-up (including running, coordination, strength, flexibility and balance exercises) and three 15-min periods of recreational team handball matches played as small eiged games (4v4, 5v5 or 6v6). The training sessions were performed on an indoor team handball court (40x20 m) adjusted to give an area of $34-36 \text{ m}^2$ per player. Some exceptions to official team handball rules were applied, namely: no exclusions; no substitutions; no dribbling; the participants rotated positions every 3 min in a random of er, including the goalkeeper; the ball was immediately put back in play by the goalkeep r a ter a goal. To avoid injuries, no hard tackles and physical contact were allowed. In palls used were lighter than for conventional team handball and made of a soft noterial. All sessions were supervised by a physical education professional with good knovled e of the rules of the sport. Heart rate (Firstbeat Technologies Ltd., version 4.7.2.1, Jy 'äsk /lä, Finland) and rate of perceived exertion (RPE), 0–10 scale [24], were monitored in a'l training sessions. Individual HR_{max} was determined as the highest value reached during the VO_{2max} test, the YYIE1 or the training sessions [23].

2.5. Statistical analyses

Results are presented as mean \pm standard deviations (SD) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). Group and intervention effects were analysed with two-way analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) with Bonferroni *post hoc* tests, with sedentary behaviour as the covariate to bone health, balance and body composition variables. Group and intervention effects for habitual PA were analysed with two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Bonferroni *post hoc* tests. To analyse differences in pre-post delta values between the groups, a student's unpaired *t*-test was performed. A Pearson correlation was used to analyse associations in absolute and relative changes between osteogenic bone turnover markers. Practical significance was

assessed by calculating Cohen *d* and interpreted as trivial (<0.2), small (0.2–0.5), medium (0.5–0.8) and large (>0.8) [25]. Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS Inc., version 25) was used for data analysis. Statistical significance was set at $p \le 0.05$.

3. Results

3.1.Training sessions

The participants' mean training attendance was 1.9 ± 0.4 (0.9–2.9) sessions per week, with a total of 31 ± 7 (15–47) training sessions. Mean HR during matches was 128 ± 16 bpm (76±6% HR_{max}), with peak values of 149 ± 17 bpm ($88\pm6\%$ HR_{max}). HR was >80% HR_{max} for 44±20% (18 ± 9 min) of total match duration, with $34\pm14\%$ of the time spent at 80–90% of HR_{max} and $11\pm9\%$ of the time spent at >90% of HR_{max}. Average RPE during match-play was 4.8 ± 1.9 (0.1–9.3).

Table 1 here

3.2. Osteogenic response

A time x group interaction was shown for 1, mbar spine BMD and BMC ($p \le 0.002$). THG increased lumbar spine BMD by 1.5% (6.89'_±0.129 to 0.905±0.130 g/cm²; p < 0.001; 95% CI: 0.62–2.31; d=0.586) and BMC by 2.2% (50.1±10.1 to 51.2±10.3 g; p < 0.001; 95% CI: 0.98–3.53; d=0.593). An increase of 2.2° $_{2}$ / 25 3±3.6 to 25.8±3.5 g; p=0.004; 95% CI: 0.90–3.48; d=0.502) was also observed in fe nu. bMC in THG (Table 1; Fig. 2).

Figure 2 here

A time x group interaction was shown for P1NP (p=0.017) and OC (p=0.008). At 16 weeks, THG showed significant higher P1NP levels than CG (67.5 versus 43.1 µg/L; p=0.014). From pre to post intervention, THG increased CTX (375.7±212.4 to 436.3±198.5 ng/L; p=0.017; 95% CI: 9.26–46.70; d=0.529), P1NP (49.9±18.9 to 67.5±28.8 µg/L; p<0.001; 95% CI: 17.82–57.33; d=1.300) and OC (18.8±7.1 to 27.7±14.2 µg/L; p<0.001; 95% CI: 29.28–54.57; d=2.807) concentrations by 28%, 38% and 42%, respectively (Table 1; Fig. 3). A correlation between absolute (r=0.433; p=0.015) and relative (r=0.444; p=0.012) delta values in femur BMC and P1NP was shown. Additionally, a correlation between relative delta values (r=0.381; p=0.034) in femur BMC and OC was also observed.

3.3.Postural balance

A time x group effect was observed for postural balance (p=0.010). At post intervention, THG had decreased the number of falls by 7%, corresponding to five falls less than at baseline (38 ± 13 to 33 ± 14 falls; p=0.011; 95% CI: -20.46–5.98; d=0.474) (Table 1; Fig. 4).

Figure 4 here

3.4.Body mass, body fat and lean mass

A time effect was observed for body fat (p=0.008). THG dccreased body mass by 1.1% (66.9±9.7 to 66.2±9.4 kg; p=0.007; 95% CI: -1.85; -0.27; d=0.4, 3) and body fat percentage by 1.1%-point (41.3±5.3 to 40.2±5.1%; p<0.001; 95% CI -3.58; -1.46; d=0.795). CG decreased body fat percentage by 0.8%-points (40.3+6.c to 39.5±6.6; p=0.019; 95% CI: -3.87; -0.57; d=0.432). No significant differences were conserved for lean mass in either group or between groups after 16 weeks (Table 1).

3.5. Habitual physical activity

No significant differences (p>0.05) betweet or within groups were observed with regard to total PA, vigorous PA, moderate PA and walking between baseline and 16 weeks (Table 2). Although CG reported less sedentary to haviour than THG at baseline (142 vs 245 min/week; p=0.004) and at 16 weeks (137 value 195 min/week; p=0.041), only THG had decreased sedentary behaviour at follow-up (245 to 195 min/week; p=0.011).

Table 2 here

4. Discussion

This is the first study to analyse the effects of a short-term (16 weeks) recreational team handball-based randomised controlled exercise programme on bone health, postural balance and body composition in inactive postmenopausal women without previous experience of the sport. The main findings were that 16 weeks of recreational team handball training, performed as small-sided games, induced an osteogenic response as well as improvements in postural balance and body mass.

4.1.Osteogenic response

THG increased lumbar spine BMD and BMC, and femur BMC, by 1.5, 2.3 and 2.2% ($p \le 0.004$), respectively. It has been reported that during the first few years after menopause,

BMD in lumbar spine and femur decreases 2.0% and 1.4% per year, respectively [26]. Thus, the present findings are of particular interest, since recreational team handball practice may counteract the normal BMD loss in this population. Additionally, bone remodelling to repair microfractures and replace old and fragile bone tissue with new bone is of the utmost importance for osteoporosis prevention [27]. For this, bone remodelling needs to take place through osteoclastic and osteoblastic activity [28]. Even though this process occurs irrespective of age and health, after menopause there is a greater increase in osteoclastic resorption than in osteoblastic activity [27], leading to a negative net bone balance. After 16 weeks, THG increased P1NP (37.6%), OC (41.9%) and CTX (28.0%). THG showed higher absolute delta values for biochemical bone formation markers than CG (P1NP: 17.7 vs 5.1 $\mu g/L$; OC: 8.9 vs 2.1 $\mu g/L$, $p \le 0.009$). Even though recreational team handball training for postmenopausal women resulted in an increase in the lone resorption marker CTX (375.7±212.4 to 436.3±198.5 ng/L; p=0.017), it was accompanied by an increase in the osteoblastic activity markers P1NP and OC, which scenas to indicate an overall osteogenic change in bone turnover.

In a previous study, after 4 months of . creational football practice comprising two to three 45-60-min sessions per week, incluses of 1.0 and 1.1% in right and left femur BMD, respectively, were shown in older men (mean age 68.2 years) [29]. Additionally, after a year of intervention, right and left femula MD increased by 5.4% and 3.8%, respectively. The authors suggested that the osteogenic response could take more time in older than in younger populations. Longer-term inter ventions may be required to understand whether recreational team handball is effective for in proving femur BMD, since a 16-week intervention may not be enough to promote any significant change in this region. Indeed, the increase in biochemical bone marker, may indicate a forthcoming alteration in bone structure. Moreover, it would be of interest to understand whether extending recreational team handball practice beyond 16 weeks induces additional improvements in lumbar spine BMD and BMC. Studies analysing the effect of team sports exercise interventions on lumbar spine BMD and BMC are scarce, even though both lumbar spine and femur are the areas for osteoporosis diagnostics [30]. Osteocalcin and P1NP were shown to increase by 41 and 45% respectively, with no change in CTX at the end of the fourth month of recreational football practice in older men [29]. An increase in P1NP (40%) was observed in postmenopausal women after 12 weeks of twice-weekly 60-min sessions of floorball practice, with no changes in CTX or OC [31]. However, after 15–16 weeks of recreational football training, an increase was seen in P1NP, OC and CTX in middle-aged women close to menopause (52%, 37% and 42%, respectively)

[32], and in 61-year-old men and women (52%, 23% and 38%, respectively) [33].

Increases in femur BMD (0.8 and 2.0%), P1NP (33 and 65%), OC (56 and 45%) and CTX (34 and 25%) were observed in 20–30-year-old women and men, respectively, after a 12-week recreational team handball intervention involving two to three sessions per week, which accords with the findings of this study [15, 16, 34].

Improvements in bone health can contribute to the prevention of osteoporosis, which is associated with cardiovascular mortality, morbidity and atherosclerosis [4], highlighting a possible multiple-health approach of this exercise mode for preventing the deleterious effects of menopause.

4.2.Postural balance

The consequences of falls include fractures, bruises, other injuries and physiological effects, including morbidity and even death [6]. Thus, the prevention of falls and improvement of postural balance are paramount, particularly for postmenopausal women, who are at higher risk than men of experiencing fractures [35]. Recreational team handball practice resulted in an improvement in postural balance after 16 wieties. Greater postural balance improvements were shown in two team sport interventions involving middle-age men (27%, 42.3 years) and women (29%, 36.5 years) using team handball [17] and football [36], respectively. Indeed, better results were shown in the flanting balance test in a younger population (32.4 years) than in an older population (70.5 years, [37]). Additionally, long-term exposure to training is also a key factor in balance performance, with trained older men (69.6 years) reporting the same number of falls as untrained young men (32.4 years) [37].

A limitation of this study was not to have recorded the number of falls before, during and after the intervention in fails life activities and during the training sessions. In future studies, it would also be of interest to analyse the fall pattern.

4.3. Total body mass, body fat and lean mass

THG reduced body mass by 0.7 kg, corresponding to 1.1%, though no changes were observed in lean mass. Curiously, both groups decreased body fat, THG by 1.1%-point and CG by 0.8%-points. In recreational team sports, changes in fat and lean mass were observed in several studies, namely using floorball for postmenopausal women [31, 38], team handball for young men and women [15, 16, 34], and football for men of the same mean age as our participants [39]. The decrease in body fat in THG is in accordance with previous training studies with team handball for young women (1.0%) [16] and overweight premenopausal women (1.4%) [34] playing recreational team handball twice a week for 12–16 weeks. In these studies, however, no changes were observed in body mass. Body mass decreases are of

practical importance due to the association with health risks at older ages. Weight loss, even in small amounts, could be beneficial, namely for preventing obesity and its consequences [40]. It should be taken into account, though, that weight loss could induce loss of muscle mass, besides body fat, which is of particular concern in the older population [40].

4.4.Injuries

In order to minimise the risk of injury, no hard tackles or physical contact were allowed. Additionally, the balls used were made of soft material and the participants were continuously instructed how to hold, throw and catch the ball. During the 16 weeks, only one finger subluxation was reported, though this did not prevent completion of the intervention by the participant. Thus, the overall incidence was 0.8 injuries pe. ¹000 hours of exposure. No severe injuries occurred during the programme, which is in a cor lance with previous studies [15, 16].

A previous study in this population had already reported the effectiveness of recreational team handball for improving aerobic performance and cardiorespiratory fitness, thus showing the positive cardiovascular health effect of this teach sport practice in postmenopausal women [18]. However, this is the first RCT to analy e the effects of recreational team handball on bone health, balance and body composition in this population.

After the 16-week intervention, significant changes were shown in lumbar spine BMD and BMC, femur BMC, P1NP, OC, C = Y, balance and body mass, though no alterations were shown in lean mass and femur B'AL. This could be related to the duration of the intervention (16 weeks) being insufficient to induce significant alterations in these markers, and thus the effect of extending the intervention's duration on additional increases in BMD should be studied.

The increases in 3MD, BMC and bone turnover could contribute to better skeletal mass and, together with the improvement in balance, reduce the risk of falls and fractures, which are associated with increased risk of mortality and morbidity. Taken together, data from the present study suggest that recreational team handball can be introduced as a high-attendance, high-intensity and low-risk exercise mode for improving bone density, content and metabolism, as well as postural balance.

5. Conclusion

Short-term recreational team handball practice is effective for improving bone health and postural balance in postmenopausal women without previous experience of the sport, and hence may potentially be helpful for counteracting the risk of falls, fractures and associated comorbidities in this population.

Acknowledgments RP is supported by a grant from the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (SFRH/BD/136789/2018). This study is part of the Handball for Health project, which is supported by the Portuguese Handball Federation, the European Handball Federation and Gaia City Hall. We would like to thank all the participants for their committed participation. We would also like to express our gratitude to the students and technicians of the University Institute of Maia and the Faculty of Sports at the University of Porto, who assisted with the data collection and provided technical assistance.

Author contributions All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript. RP conducted the training, testing, data collection and analysis, interpreted the study results and drafted the manuscript. JM and CC contributed to the study Cosign, interpreted the study results and edited the manuscript. EC, RS and EWH interpreted he study results and edited the manuscript. NRJ carried out the analysis of the bioclosmical bone turnover markers and interpreted the study results. SP and PK conceived the study design, applied for the funding, interpreted the results and edited the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest The authors do not have ar y conflicts of interest to declare.

References

[1] R.J. Baber, N. Panay, A. Fenton, 2015 ΓΔS Recommendations on women's midlife health and menopause hormone therapy, Clim. cteric 19(2) (2016) 109-50.

[2] M. Brown, Skeletal muscle and burg: effect of sex steroids and aging, Adv Physiol Educ 32(2) (2008) 120-6.

[3] G.B. Bernasochi, J.R. L.¹¹, E.R. Simpson, L.M.D. Delbridge, W.C. Boon, Impact of Estrogens on the Regulation of White, Beige, and Brown Adipose Tissue Depots, Compr Physiol 9(2) (2019) 457-475.

[4] G.N. Farhat, J.A. Cauley, The link between osteoporosis and cardiovascular disease, Clin Cases Miner Bone Metab 5(1) (2008) 19-34.

[5] S.O. Chin, S.Y. Rhee, S. Chon, Y.C. Hwang, I.K. Jeong, S. Oh, K.J. Ahn, H.Y. Chung, J.T. Woo, S.W. Kim, J.W. Kim, Y.S. Kim, H.Y. Ahn, Sarcopenia is independently associated with cardiovascular disease in older Korean adults: the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES) from 2009, PLoS One 8(3) (2013) e60119.

[6] M. Terroso, N. Rosa, A. Torres Marques, R. Simoes, Physical consequences of falls in the elderly: a literature review from 1995 to 2010, Eur Rev Aging Phys Act 11 (2014) 51-59.

[7] J.D. Adachi, C. Berger, R. Barron, D. Weycker, T.P. Anastassiades, K.S. Davison, D.A. Hanley, G. Ioannidis, S.A. Jackson, R.G. Josse, S.M. Kaiser, C.S. Kovacs, W.D. Leslie, S.N. Morin, A. Papaioannou, J.C. Prior, E. Shyta, A. Silvia, T. Towheed, D. Goltzman, Predictors of imminent non-vertebral fracture in elderly women with osteoporosis, low bone mass, or a history of fracture, based on data from the population-based Canadian Multicentre Osteoporosis Study (CaMos), Arch Osteoporos 14(1) (2019) 5²

[8] E. Sornay-Rendu, F. Duboeuf, S. Boutroy, R.D. Ch.pu.¹at, Muscle mass is associated with incident fracture in postmenopausal women: The GFELY study, Bone 94 (2017) 108-113.

[9] A. Moayyeri, R.N. Luben, N.J. Wareham, K.T. Jahaw, Body fat mass is a predictor of risk of osteoporotic fractures in women but not in men: a prospective population study, J Intern Med 271(5) (2012) 472-80.

[10] M.R. Mainenti, C. Rodrigues Ed. J.F. Oliveira, S. Ferreira Ade, C.M. Dias, A.L. Silva, Adiposity and postural balance control: correlations between bioelectrical impedance and stabilometric signals in elder Brazilian women, Clinics (Sao Paulo) 66(9) (2011) 1513-8.

[11] T.V. Nguyen, Incirculated fracture risk assessment: State-of-the-art and room for improvement, Osteoporos Sarcopenia 4(1) (2018) 2-10.

[12] N.M. Grindler, N.F. Santoro, Menopause and exercise, Menopause 22(12) (2015) 1351-8.

[13] C. Castagna, M. de Sousa, P. Krustrup, D.T. Kirkendall, Recreational team sports: The motivational medicine, J Sport Health Sci 7(2) (2018) 129-131.

[14] P. Krustrup, P. Aagaard, L. Nybo, J. Petersen, M. Mohr, J. Bangsbo, Recreational football as a health promoting activity: a topical review, Scand J Med Sci Sports 20 Suppl 1

(2010) 1-13.

[15] T. Hornstrup, F.T. Løwenstein, M.A. Larsen, E.W. Helge, S. Póvoas, J.W. Helge, J.J. Nielsen, B. Fristrup, J.L. Andersen, L. Gliemann, L. Nybo, P. Krustrup, Cardiovascular, muscular, and skeletal adaptations to recreational team handball training: a randomized controlled trial with young adult untrained men, Eur J Appl Physiol 119(2) (2019) 561-573.

[16] T. Hornstrup, J.M. Wikman, B. Fristrup, S. Póvoas, E.W. Helge, S.H. Nielsen, J.W. Helge, J.L. Andersen, L. Nybo, P. Krustrup, Fitness and health benefits of team handball training for young untrained women-A cross-disciplinary RCT on physiological adaptations and motivational aspects, J Sport Health Sci 7(2) (2018) 179-148.

[17] S.C.A. Póvoas, C. Castagna, C. Resende, E.F. Coelhe, P. Silva, R. Santos, R. Pereira, P. Krustrup, Effects of a Short-Term Recreational Team Handball-Based Programme on Physical Fitness and Cardiovascular and Met. build Health of 33-55-Year-Old Men: A Pilot Study, Biomed Res Int 2018 (2018) 410, 79%.

[18] R. Pereira, P. Krustrup, C. Castagh, E. Coelho, R. Santos, S. Martins, J.T. Guimarães, J. Magalhães, S. Póvoas, Effects of a 16-week recreational team handball intervention on aerobic performance and carciometabolic fitness markers in postmenopausal women: A randomized controlled trial range Cardiovasc Dis (2020).

[19] C.L. Craig, A.L. Myrsnall, M. Sjostrom, A.E. Bauman, M.L. Booth, B.E. Ainsworth, M.
Pratt, U. Ekelund, A. Yngve, J.F. Sallis, P. Oja, International physical activity questionnaire:
12-country reliability and validity, Med Sci Sports Exerc 35(8) (2003) 1381-95.

[20] Ministry of Health, Protocol for Collecting Height, Weight and Waist Measurements in New Zealand Health Monitor (NZHM) Surveys., Ministry of Health, Wellington, NZ, 2008.

[21] American College of Sports Medicine, ACSM's Guidelines for Exercise Testing and Prescription, 9 ed.2014.

[22] B. Deforche, J. Lefevre, I. De Bourdeaudhuij, A.P. Hills, W. Duquet, J. Bouckaert,

Physical fitness and physical activity in obese and nonobese Flemish youth, Obes Res 11(3) (2003) 434-41.

[23] S.C.A. Póvoas, P. Krustrup, R. Pereira, S. Vieira, I. Carneiro, J. Magalhães, C. Castagna, Maximal heart rate assessment in recreational football players: A study involving a multiple testing approach, Scand J Med Sci Sports 29(10) (2019) 1537-1545.

[24] C. Foster, J. Florhaug, J. Franklin, L. Gottschall, L. Hrovatin, S. Parker, P. Doleshal, C. Dodge, A new approach to monitoring exercise training, J Strength Cond Res 15(1) (2001) 109-15.

[25] J. Cohen, Statistical power analysis for the behaviou. sciences, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Hillsdale, NJ, 1988.

[26] J.S. Finkelstein, S.E. Brockwell, V. Mehta, G.A. Creendale, M.R. Sowers, B. Ettinger, J.C. Lo, J.M. Johnston, J.A. Cauley, M.E. Davierson, R.M. Neer, Bone mineral density changes during the menopause transition in a multiethnic cohort of women, J Clin Endocrinol Metab 93(3) (2008) 861-8.

[27] J.C. Gallagher, S.H. Tella, Provention and treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis, J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 142 (2014) 155-70.

[28] R. Eastell, P. Szulc U. of bone turnover markers in postmenopausal osteoporosis, Lancet Diabetes Endocimor 5(11) (2017) 908-923.

[29] E.W. Helge, T.R. Andersen, J.F. Schmidt, N.R. Jorgensen, T. Hornstrup, P. Krustrup, J. Bangsbo, Recreational football improves bone mineral density and bone turnover marker profile in elderly men, Scand J Med Sci Sports 24 Suppl 1 (2014) 98-104.

[30] J.Y. Reginster, N. Burlet, Osteoporosis: a still increasing prevalence, Bone 38(2 Suppl 1)(2006) S4-9.

[31] K. Seidelin, M. Nyberg, P. Piil, N.R. Jorgensen, Y. Hellsten, J. Bangsbo, Adaptations with Intermittent Exercise Training in Post- and Premenopausal Women, Med Sci Sports

Exerc 49(1) (2017) 96-105.

[32] M. Mohr, E.W. Helge, L.F. Petersen, A. Lindenskov, P. Weihe, J. Mortensen, N.R. Jorgensen, P. Krustrup, Effects of soccer vs swim training on bone formation in sedentary middle-aged women, Eur J Appl Physiol 115(12) (2015) 2671-9.

[33] M.B. Skoradal, E.W. Helge, N.R. Jørgensen, J. Mortensen, P. Weihe, P. Krustrup, M. Mohr, Osteogenic impact of football training in 55- to 70-year-old women and men with prediabetes, Scand J Med Sci Sports 28 Suppl 1 (2018) 52-60.

[34] T. Hornstrup, S. Póvoas, J.W. Helge, P.S. Melcher, P. F. strup, J.L. Andersen, R. Mogelvang, P.R. Hansen, L. Nybo, P. Krustrup, Cardiovescular and metabolic health effects of team handball training in overweight women: Impact of prior experience, Scand J Med Sci Sports 30(2) (2020) 281-294.

[35] F.P. Chen, T.S. Fu, Y.C. Lin, C.M. Fai, Lisk factors and quality of life for the occurrence of hip fracture in postmenoparise, women, Biomed J 41(3) (2018) 202-208.

[36] E.W. Helge, P. Aagaard, M.D. Jak, bsen, E. Sundstrup, M.B. Randers, M.K. Karlsson, P. Krustrup, Recreational football training decreases risk factors for bone fractures in untrained premenopausal women, Scand . Med Sci Sports 20 Suppl 1 (2010) 31-9.

[37] E. Sundstrup, M.D. Jakobsen, J.L. Andersen, M.B. Randers, J. Petersen, C. Suetta, P. Aagaard, P. Krustrup, Muscle function and postural balance in lifelong trained male footballers compared with sedentary elderly men and youngsters, Scand J Med Sci Sports 20 Suppl 1 (2010) 90-7.

[38] M. Nyberg, K. Seidelin, T.R. Andersen, N.N. Overby, Y. Hellsten, J. Bangsbo, Biomarkers of vascular function in premenopausal and recent postmenopausal women of similar age: effect of exercise training, Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 306(7) (2014) R510-7.

[39] J. Uth, T. Hornstrup, J.F. Schmidt, J.F. Christensen, C. Frandsen, K.B. Christensen,

E.W. Helge, K. Brasso, M. Rorth, J. Midtgaard, P. Krustrup, Football training improves lean body mass in men with prostate cancer undergoing androgen deprivation therapy, Scand J Med Sci Sports 24 Suppl 1 (2014) 105-12.

[40] O. Bosello, A. Vanzo, Obesity paradox and aging, Eat Weight Disord (2019).

South of the second sec

	Team handball group (n=41)				Control group (n=26)				Two-way ANCOVA		
									Tim	Grou	Interacti
Variables	Baseline	16 weeks	Δ (abs)	$\Delta(\%)$	Baseline	16 weeks	Δ (abs)	$\Delta(\%)$	e	р	on
									р	р	р
Bone health											
Lumbar spine	0.892±0.1	0.905±0.13	0.013±0.0		0.899 ± 0.1	0.893 -(1		-	0.44	0.73	0.001
BMD (g/cm^2)	29	0*	22	1.5±2.7	26	?4	J.006±0.0 14 [#]	$0.6{\pm}1.6^{\#}$	2	1	0.001
Lumbar spine	50 1+10 1	51 2+10 2*	1 1 + 1 9	2 2+4 1	10 5 + 2 7	40.1+7.0	$0.4 \pm 1.2^{\#}$	-	0.49	0.90	0.002
BMC (g)	J0.1±10.1	$51.2\pm10.5^{\circ}$	1.1±1.8	2.3±4.1	49.5±5.1	49.1±7.9	-0.4±1.2	$0.7{\pm}2.4^{\#}$	2	9	0.002
Femoral neck	0.718±0.0	0.724±0.09	0.006±0.0		0.693±0.1	0.693±0.1	-		0.54	0.84	
BMD (g/cm^2)	98	7	25	0.9±3.5	25	31	0.000±0.0 19	-0.2±2.8	7	1	0.302
Femoral neck	2.2.0.5	2.2.0.5	0.0.0		22.06	22.07	0.0.0.0	0 6 5 0	0.66	0.75	0.505
BMC (g)	3.3±0.5	3.3±0.5	0.0±0. %	1.0 ± 8.0	3.2±0.6	3.2±0.7	-0.0±0.2	-0.6±3.9	0	8	0.595
Femur BMD	0.833 ± 0.1	0.838 ± 0.09	C. NU 4±12.0	0 6+2 3	0.826 ± 0.1	0.828 ± 0.1	0.003 ± 0.0	0 3+2 2	0.22	0.47	0.674
(g/cm^2)	01	8	19	0.0±2.5	11	17	18	0.3±2.2	3	4	0.074
Femur BMC	25.3±3.6	25.8±3.5 [.]	$0.5{\pm}1.0$	2.2 ± 4.1	25.7±4.0	25.8±4.0	0.1±1.2	0.6 ± 4.8	0.10	0.49	0.153
(g)	0757.01	10 (0 100	60 6 11 5	20.0.40	200 1 24	220 6 24		166.00	0	4	
CTX (ng/L)	3/5./±21	436.3±198.	60.6±115.	28.0±40	309.1±24	338.6±24	29.5±50.2	16.6±38.	0.36	0.23	0.568
	2.4	3 *	2	.0	5.4	9.3		3 10 1 - 07	/	2	
P1NP (µg/L)	49.9±18.9	67.5±28.8*	17.7±16.6	37.6±42	38.0±27.5	43.1±28.4 #	5.1±6.3 [#]	18.1±27.	0.00	0.02	0.017
Ostagalain				.4 41.0±27				∠ 16.0±16	5 0.00	ð 0.15	
(µg/L)	18.8±7.1	27.7±14.2*	8.9±7.8	41.9±27 .0	16.6±13.1	18.8±13.1	$2.1{\pm}2.1^{\#}$	$10.9\pm10.7^{\#}$	3	0.13 7	0.008

Table 1. Body mass, body composition, blood bone markers and postural balance at baseline and after 16 weeks of small-sided recreational team handball training or a continuation of usual lifestyle, with baseline habitual physical activity as the covariate.

P1NP/CTX	156.9±64. 8	162.4±42.9	5.5±64.3	14.5±37 .0	166.0±68. 0	181.8±97. 4	15.8±83.6	11.7±43. 2	0.24 0	0.50 8	0.952
Osteocalcin/C TX	57.3±18.2	65.7±22.0	8.4±22.1	20.5±43 .4	75.5±38.5	82.9±57.1	7.4±43.2	10.8±40. 9	0.22 3	0.11 4	0.794
Physical fitness											
Postural balance (n)	38±13	33±14*	-5±10	-7±37	35±13	38±12	3 ±8 [#]	16±41 [#]	0.90 2	0.90 9	0.010
Body compositio	n										
Body mass (kg)	66.9±9.7	66.2±9.4*	-0.7±1.8	- 1.1±2.5	63.5±9.3	63.2 -9.5	-0.3±1.3	-0.5±2.2	0.20 0	0.45 0	0.301
Body fat (%)	41.3±5.3	40.2±5.1*	-1.1±1.4	- 2.5±3.4	40.3±6.8	35 5±6.6*	-0.8±1.8	-1.6±5.5	0.00 8	0.80 6	0.487
Lean mass (kg)	37.2±4.1	37.4±4.1	0.3±0.9	0.8±2.4	.5.73.5	36.0±3.8	0.3±1.3	0.9±3.8	0.23 0	0.32 9	0.890

Data is presented as mean±SD

* Significantly different from baseline ($p \le 0.005$)

[#] Significantly different from the team handball group (p_0.005)

BMD: bone mineral density; BMC: bone mineral content; CTX: carboxy-terminal type-1 collagen crosslinks; P1NP: procollagen type-1 aminoterminal propeptide. **Table 2** Daily physical activity at baseline and after 16 weeks of small-sided recreational team handball training or a continuation of usual lifestyle.

	Team handball group (n=41)		Control g (n=2	group 6)	Two-way ANOVA			
	Baseline	16 weeks	Baseline	16 weeks	Time	Group Interaction		
Total PA (MET-min/week)	3051±2834	3038±1936	2458±2364	3002±2635	0.459	0.545	0.438	
Vigorous-intensity PA (MET- min/week)	657±1435	1194±1127	859±1775	°4 ′±1. 54	0.218	0.934	0.374	
Moderate-intensity PA (MET- min/week)	1085±1395	827±1039	761±1162	143±1491	0.753	0.988	0.109	
Walking (MET-min/week)	1309±1140	1017±886	8: 8 <u>+</u> 18F	911±747	0.447	0.134	0.204	
Sedentary behaviour (min/day)	245±161	195±127*	14'_±81 [#]	137±69 [#]	0.083	0.005	0.146	

Data is presented as mean±SD

* Significantly different from baseline ($p \le 0.005$)

[#] Significantly different from the team handball $grou_1(p_{-}0.005)$

PA: physical activity

Figures



Fig. 1 Flow chart showing the number of participants enrolled, randomised and evaluated.





Fig. 2 Lumbar spine BMD (a), lumbar spine BMC (b) and femur BMC (c) at baseline and after 16 weeks of team handball train $n_{\mathcal{E}}$ (THG, n=41: white bars) or a continuation of usual lifestyle (CG, n=26: grey bars). * Significantly different from baseline ($p \le 0.005$).





Fig. 3 CTX (a), P1NP (b) and osteocalcin (c), presented as delta values (%) between baseline and 16 weeks of team handball training (THG, n=41) or a continuation of usual lifestyle (CG, n=26). *Significant differences between baseline and 16 weeks ($p \le 0.005$); [#]Significant difference between groups at 16 weeks ($p \le 0.005$); [§]Significant differences in change score between groups ($p \le 0.005$).



Fig. 4 Postural balance, evaluated as the number of halls in a flamingo balance test, at baseline and after 16 weeks of team handball training (THG, n=41: white bars) or a continuation of usual lifestyle (CG, n=26: grey bar). *Significantly different from baseline $(p \le 0.005)$.

Sund

Credit Author Statement

All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript. RP conducted the training, testing, data collection and analysis, interpreted the study results and drafted the manuscript. JM and CC contributed to the study design, interpreted the study results and edited the manuscript. EC, RS and EWH interpreted the study results and edited the manuscript. NRJ carried out the analysis of the biochemical bone turnover markers and interpreted the study results. SP and PK conceived the study design, applied for the funding, interpreted the results and edited the manuscript.



Highlights

- Menopause predisposes women to augmented musculoskeletal degeneration
- Sarcopenia and osteoporosis potentiate the risk of falls and fractures
- Recreational team handball improves bone health and postural balance