

Protective roles for myeloid cells in neuroinflammation

Owens, Trevor; Benmamar-Badel, Anouk; Wlodarczyk, Agnieszka; Marczyńska, Joanna; Mørch, Marlene T.; Dubik, Magdalena; Arengoth, Dina S.; Asgari, Nasrin; Webster, Gill; Khorrooshi, Reza

Published in:
Scandinavian Journal of Immunology

DOI:
10.1111/sji.12963

Publication date:
2020

Document version:
Accepted manuscript

Citation for published version (APA):
Owens, T., Benmamar-Badel, A., Wlodarczyk, A., Marczyńska, J., Mørch, M. T., Dubik, M., Arengoth, D. S., Asgari, N., Webster, G., & Khorrooshi, R. (2020). Protective roles for myeloid cells in neuroinflammation. *Scandinavian Journal of Immunology*, 92(5), Article e12963. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sji.12963>

Go to publication entry in University of Southern Denmark's Research Portal

Terms of use

This work is brought to you by the University of Southern Denmark.
Unless otherwise specified it has been shared according to the terms for self-archiving.
If no other license is stated, these terms apply:

- You may download this work for personal use only.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying this open access version

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details and we will investigate your claim.
Please direct all enquiries to puresupport@bib.sdu.dk

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PROF. TREVOR OWENS (Orcid ID : 0000-0001-9315-6036)

Article type : Mini Review

SJI 2020 special issue

Protective roles for myeloid cells in neuroinflammation

Running title: Myeloid cells in EAE

Trevor Owens¹, Anouk Benmamar-Badel^{1,2}, Agnieszka Wlodarczyk¹, Joanna Marczyńska¹,
Marlene Thorsen Mørch¹, Magdalena Dubik¹, Dina S. Arengoth¹, Nasrin Asgari^{1,2}, Gill
Webster³, Reza Khorrooshi¹.

¹Neurobiology Research, Institute of Molecular Medicine, and BRIDGE, Brain Research - Inter-
Disciplinary Guided Excellence, University of Southern Denmark, 5000 Odense C, Denmark.

²Department of Neurology, Slagelse Hospital, Slagelse, Denmark.

³Innate Immunotherapeutics, Auckland, New Zealand.

Corresponding author:

Trevor Owens

Department of Neurobiology Research, Institute of Molecular Medicine, University of
Southern Denmark, J.B. Winsløvsvej 25, DK-5000, Odense C, Denmark.

Phone: + 45 6550 3951; Fax: + 45 6550 3950; E-mail: towens@health.sdu.dk

Word count : Abstract, 150 words; Text, 2838 words.

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1111/SJI.12963](https://doi.org/10.1111/SJI.12963)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

29

30

31 **Abstract**

32

33 Myeloid cells represent the major cellular component of innate immune responses. Myeloid cells
34 include monocytes and macrophages, granulocytes (neutrophils, basophils and eosinophils) and
35 dendritic cells (DC). The role of myeloid cells has been broadly described both in physiological but
36 also pathological conditions. All tissues or organs are equipped with resident myeloid cells, such as
37 parenchymal microglia in the brain, which contribute to maintaining homeostasis. Moreover, in case
38 of infection or tissue damage other myeloid cells such as monocytes or granulocytes (especially
39 neutrophils) can be recruited from the circulation, at first to promote inflammation and later to
40 participate in repair and regeneration. This review aims to address the regulatory roles of myeloid
41 cells in inflammatory diseases of the central nervous system (CNS), with a particular focus on recent
42 work showing induction of suppressive function via stimulation of innate signaling in multiple
43 sclerosis (MS) and its animal model experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE).

44 **Introduction**

45 Neuroinflammation, involving infiltration of the normally immune quiescent CNS by blood-derived
46 lymphocytes and myeloid cells, is encountered under many clinical circumstances, with CNS-intrinsic
47 and -extrinsic triggers including stroke, tumors, infection and inflammatory demyelinating diseases
48 (IDD). The latter are typified by MS, characterised by an autoimmune T cell-induced inflammatory
49 response associated with demyelination. Infiltration and activation of myeloid cells, both infiltrating
50 and CNS-resident, are also features of MS. The pathology of relapsing remitting MS, the most
51 common type of MS disease, is reminiscent of a Type IV hypersensitivity with prominent infiltration
52 from blood of CD8+ and CD4+ T cells, B cells and macrophages, associated to grey and white matter
53 demyelination and axonal damage [1]. The related but distinct disease neuromyelitis optica spectrum
54 disorders, termed NMOSD, are considered to be mediated by complement-dependent serum-derived
55 autoantibody attack, and the resultant gliopathy and demyelination are associated with granulocytic
56 infiltrates. A further distinct clinical syndrome is associated with autoantibodies targeting myelin
57 oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG) [1].

58 Immune responses in the CNS are distinguished from responses in other tissues or organs by two
59 aspects. One is that access from blood is more regulated than in most other tissues, the other is a
60 unique and characteristic microenvironment. Blood–CNS barriers include the blood-brain barrier
61 (BBB) localized within CNS microvessels, and blood-cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) barriers e.g. in the
62 choroid plexus. Immune cell traffic from blood is restricted by interaction with elements of the BBB,

63 notably endothelial tight junctions and the (mostly) astrocytic glia limitans in post-capillary venules,
64 with interest in the choroid plexus as another site of immune cell entry [2]. Common features of
65 neuroinflammation are local activation of resident myeloid cells, and recruitment of peripheral
66 myeloid cells. Both cell compartments may facilitate the inflammatory responses but importantly can
67 play protective and regenerative functions. Given the bone marrow and blood origins of peripheral
68 myeloid cells it becomes important to consider how they might access the CNS. Within a general
69 model of rolling adhesion, chemokine attraction and protease-mediated barrier disruption, distinct
70 signals and mechanisms underly transmigration of leukocyte sub-lineages such as lymphocytes,
71 monocytes and neutrophils [2]. However, all blood-derived cells can migrate into the CSF, under
72 appropriate conditions.

73

74 Here we review protective functions of myeloid cells and mechanisms for their induction in MS and
75 EAE, and contrast them with pro-inflammatory effects.

76 **Myeloid cells in the CNS**

77

78 CNS myeloid cells can be divided into two major categories, corresponding to their location:
79 parenchymal and extraparenchymal.

80 Microglia are CNS-resident myeloid cells with classical tissue macrophage properties within the CNS
81 parenchyma. They are distinguished from other CNS-resident myeloid cells as well as infiltrating
82 leukocytes by reduced levels of the cell membrane tyrosine phosphatase CD45, as well as other
83 markers (e.g. [3]). Microglia are of particular interest in a CNS-autoimmune context because of their
84 ability to phagocytose and present tissue antigens, and to regulate inflammatory responses.

85 Extraparenchymal CNS-resident myeloid cell populations are located within the CSF compartment, in
86 the leptomeninges, and subarachnoid and perivascular spaces. These cells include some DCs, as well
87 as macrophages that have been termed border-associated macrophages (BAMs), which include
88 perivascular, meningeal and choroid plexus macrophages. BAMs share developmental yolk sac origin
89 with microglia but differ transcriptionally from them, as well as from each other [4]. Importantly, the
90 location of these cells at the interface between the periphery and the parenchyma is ideal to monitor
91 both environments.

92 **CNS-resident myeloid cells in inflammatory demyelinating diseases**

93

94 Animal models for MS include EAE, induced by adjuvant-based immunization against myelin
95 proteins, or by transfer of T cells from immunized animals. The resulting Th1- and Th17-dominated

96 inflammation is associated with demyelination and axonal damage in spinal cord and brain
97 (depending on species, strain and model) with symptoms appropriate to lesion location [5].

98

99 Microglial activation is a common feature in neuroinflammatory diseases. They are fully equipped for
100 antigen presentation and may play an important role in inducing T cell responses within the CNS. In
101 particular, expression of MHC II on activated microglia can be detected even before clinical signs of
102 EAE [6]. Pharmacological [7] and genetic inhibition [8, 9] of microglia activation as well as their
103 pharmacological depletion [10] attenuates the course of EAE, suggesting their detrimental role in the
104 initiation, as well as perpetuation of the disease.

105

106 However, a growing body of evidence suggests that there are also protective functions for microglia
107 in EAE. A recent study indicated that pharmacological depletion of microglia significantly
108 exacerbated secondary progression of EAE and data suggested that microglia suppressed secondary
109 progression of EAE by inhibiting the proliferation of CD4⁺ T cells in the CNS [11]. In addition, the
110 study by Nissen et al. showed a shift from an inflammatory to protective phenotype in microglia that
111 escaped the depletion [10]. This fits to the concept of repopulating myeloid cells being protective, as
112 has been shown in other contexts [12]. Microglia death and repopulation physiologically occurs in
113 response to white matter injury. Importantly, such repopulated cells show a regenerative phenotype
114 and actively contribute to remyelination [13]. Microglia have been shown to respond to the anti-
115 inflammatory cytokine interferon (IFN) β , that positively regulates their repopulation, as well as
116 promotes phagocytosis of myelin debris and as a consequence, facilitates remyelination [13, 14].

117

118 It has now been well documented that a subset of microglia that can be identified by CD11c
119 expression emerges during neurodevelopment, neuroinflammation as well as neurodegeneration
120 (extensively reviewed in [15]). These CD11c⁺ microglia express microglia signature genes, as well as
121 typical microglia markers such as IBA1 or CX3CR1. A transcriptomic signature that includes *Itgax*,
122 *Igf1*, *Clec7a*, *Spp1* is shared by microglia in many studies and is particularly associated with the
123 CD11c⁺ subset [15]. Interestingly, this subset of microglia is necessary for primary myelination and is
124 involved in protection from EAE [16-18]. CD11c⁺ microglia can be induced by CSF1R stimulation
125 [16], as well as by blocking Sirp α -CD47 signaling [19]. CSF1R stimulation led to EAE suppression
126 [16].

127

128 White matter pathology induced by the copper chelator cuprizone is used as a model for
129 demyelination and remyelination in MS. Microglia predominate in demyelinated lesions [20] and it is
130 instructive to review their role. A beneficial role was suggested by amelioration of demyelination by
131 CSF1R stimulation [21]. By contrast, remyelination and motor function recovery were enhanced by

132 pharmacological microglial depletion [22], and reduction in microglial number using a different
133 CSF1R inhibition attenuated acute demyelination, and promoted remyelination and neuroprotection in
134 a chronic model [23]. It can be speculated that the distinct outcomes of microglial depletion in
135 cuprizone versus EAE models is due to the relative absence of overt inflammation, or differences in
136 environmental factors in cuprizone induced de- and re-myelination.

137

138 Similar to microglia, both DCs and macrophages are implicated as antigen-presenting cells (APCs).
139 APCs have been proposed to regulate entry of antigen-specific T cells to the CNS. For instance,
140 interaction with myeloid phagocytic cells in the meninges was shown to be a necessary step for T cell
141 entry in the parenchyma and establishment of pathology [24]. Using selective transgenic deletion
142 strategies two groups have shown that BAMs and microglia are redundant for this APC activity,
143 whereas MHC II-expressing conventional DCs are essential, and their deletion protected against EAE
144 [25, 26]. It has been reported that all subsets of BAMs adapt their phenotype and generate new
145 specialized subsets in case of neuroinflammation [26]. However, the morphological similarities
146 between infiltrating monocytes and resident BAMs have made it quite difficult to study the role of
147 BAMs specifically in this context. Jordao et al. used a combination of single-cell transcriptomics and
148 a fate-mapping system to achieve this and reported *in situ* proliferation of all BAMs during EAE,
149 although at lower rates than microglia [26]. In addition, they noted that although meningeal
150 macrophages were phenotypically indistinguishable from infiltrating myeloid cells, the latter were
151 distinctly smaller than perivascular macrophages. Interestingly, the accumulation of immune cells in
152 the meninges seems to precede and contribute largely to EAE time-course, pointing towards the
153 meningeal compartment as a gateway of entry of the CNS [26].

154

155 **Immune suppression by peripheral myeloid cells**

156 Research on tumorigenesis revealed the existence of myeloid cell populations which support tumor
157 growth, by suppressing the activity and proliferation of host-protective T lymphocytes that participate
158 in tumor cell eradication, and by stimulating expansion of regulatory T cells [27]. These myeloid
159 cells, termed myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC), have been described both in human and
160 mouse and sub-phenotyped as either granulocytic/polymorphonuclear (G/PMN-) MDSCs or
161 monocytic (M-) MDSCs. MDSCs have also been studied in the context of chronic infection,
162 transplantation and autoimmunity [28]. It remains unclear whether MDSCs represent an immature
163 stage in myeloid cell development or whether they are a separate sub-lineage, and phenotype of
164 MDSCs is not yet explicit [27].

165

166 Mechanisms of immune suppression by MDSCs include cell-cell interactions, depletion of L-arginine,
167 oxidative stress, impairment of viability and migration of T cells and production of anti-inflammatory

168 cytokines such as interleukin-10 (IL-10) [29]. The anti-proliferative effect of arthritis-protective
169 MDSCs was blocked by anti-IL-10 [30]. There is growing interest in so-called checkpoint inhibitors,
170 especially the programmed death-1 (PD-1) receptor which inhibits T cell activation upon ligation by
171 programmed death-ligand (PD-L) 1, that can be induced on myeloid cells [31].

172 Furthermore, there is growing evidence that among each major myeloid population, cells exhibiting
173 suppressive or regulatory capacity can be found, protecting the host from uncontrolled inflammation
174 caused by either pathogens or self-antigens [29]. Those cells are called myeloid regulatory cells
175 (MRCs). Because MDSCs are considered a subset of MRCs, we will use the term as a default,
176 wherever MDSCs were not explicitly identified. It is important to understand the mechanisms
177 responsible for induction of MRCs since they have therapeutic potential against e.g. autoimmune
178 diseases, including neuroinflammatory diseases such as MS.

179

180 **Myeloid regulatory cells in MS and EAE**

181 More recently, MDSCs have proven to be of interest in regulating inflammation not associated with
182 cancer. Accumulating evidence points to cytokines or innate receptor ligands including Toll-like
183 receptors (TLRs) ligands as important players implicated in induction of myeloid suppressive
184 phenotype [27]. The principal effector mechanisms of both granulocytic- (arginase-1 enzyme activity)
185 and monocytic- (nitric oxide (NO) production) MDSCs are enhanced by IFN γ [27, 32]. The cytokine
186 IL-10, another candidate mediator of suppression, was induced by IFN β in the context of EAE-
187 protection [33], as well as by innate ligands [34]. Another cytokine, transforming growth factor-beta
188 (TGF β), may also be implicated in myeloid suppression. Abrogation of TGF β signaling in CX3CR1+
189 monocyte-derived macrophages led to rapid onset of a progressive and fatal demyelinating motor
190 disease characterized by myelin-laden giant macrophages throughout the spinal cord [35].

191

192 Innate receptors, e.g. pattern recognition receptors, are widely expressed and can play pathologic and
193 protective roles in MS and EAE [36]. Triggering innate receptors within the CNS may induce the
194 infiltration of MRCs. As a specific example, G/PMN-MRCs were mobilized in EAE by intrathecal
195 administration of a bispecific microparticle called MIS416, which combines ligands for TLR9 and
196 NOD2 to activate phagocytes [34]. MIS416-associated amelioration of EAE was shown to, at least in
197 part, be caused by PD-L1 expressing cells characterized as neutrophils (CD11b+, Ly6Clow,
198 Ly6Ghigh) and functionally equivalent to G/PMN-MRCs, as transfer of such cells ameliorated EAE.
199 This amelioration was further shown to be dependent on type I IFN signaling. These innately-
200 stimulated G/PMN-MRCs were recruited from blood (shown by bone marrow chimera analyses) by a
201 rapidly-induced CNS-endogenous chemokine response, and it is assumed that as-yet unidentified
202 CSF-resident myeloid cells were the initiating source of G/PMN-attracting CXCL1 and CXCL2 [34].

203

204 A similar effect on recruitment of myeloid cells and amelioration of EAE by other innate ligands has
205 been shown, including poly-I:C (ligand for TLR3) [37], though it was not shown whether such
206 ligands could drive MRCs or MDSCs transition in a neuroinflammatory context. Although such
207 findings align with the need for innate stimulation for induction of a suppressive MRC phenotype, and
208 may be assumed to reflect a general mechanism, this requires confirmation. Focus to TLRs has been
209 partly due to availability of reagents, extension to other innate receptor families will be informative.

210

211 It is known that G/PMN-MDSCs are recruited to the CNS in EAE. Transfer of cells identified as
212 G/PMN-MDSCs that accumulated in the CNS of mice prior to remission from symptoms of EAE,
213 suppressed disease and inhibited Th1 and Th17 responses in recipient animals [32]. G/PMN-MDSCs
214 have been reported to be recruited to the CNS of mice with EAE and to suppress GM-CSF-producing
215 B cells, which are associated to detrimental microglial activation and lack of recovery [38]. The
216 frequency of CD138+ plasma B cells in CSF of MS patients correlated negatively with that of
217 G/PMN-MDSCs [38]. Taken together, these findings point to a natural role for G/PMN-MDSCs in
218 regulating neuroinflammation. Earlier studies described IFN γ -dependent induction of T cell
219 suppression *in vitro* by neutrophils isolated from CNS of mice with EAE. This suppression was NO-
220 mediated [39]. Ioannou et al. showed that PD-L1 expression by granulocytic MDSCs in peripheral
221 lymphoid tissue and in CNS was upregulated by IFN γ , and these G/PMN-MDSC could transfer
222 suppression of EAE [32].

223

224 Observation that G/PMN-MRCs suppression was IFN α receptor (IFNAR, receptor for type I IFNs)-
225 dependent resonates with the known role for type I IFNs in EAE. IFN β treatment ameliorates EAE,
226 and lack of IFN β or selective defect of its receptor IFNAR1 on myeloid cells results in exacerbation
227 of EAE [40-42]. Microglia are a major source of IFN β in EAE, and infiltrating myeloid cells also
228 contribute to CNS-endogenous IFN β [37, 42]. Peripheral treatment of mice with IFN β at the onset of
229 disease enhanced the presence of EAE-suppressive MDSCs in spinal cord [40]. Together these
230 findings support an important role for myeloid cells in mediating the protective effect of IFN β in
231 EAE.

232

233 Less evidence is available for a role of M-MDSCs in neuroinflammation. In one EAE study, PD-L1
234 expressing M-MDSCs with suppressive activity were shown in demyelinated areas of the spinal cord
235 [40]. White et al. have shown that intravenous injection of the MIS416 microparticle mobilized cells
236 functionally resembling M-MDSCs in the periphery, with induction of Treg and NO responses and
237 upregulation of PD-L1, leading to amelioration of EAE [43]. Myeloid cell responses and disease
238 suppression were IFN γ -dependent [43]. In our study involving intrathecal administration of MIS416,
239 cells showing the monocytic phenotype were also recruited to the CNS. However, their transfer via

240 intrathecal injection did not suppress EAE in recipient mice, which may point to the need for other
241 activation pathways [34]. Interestingly, innate stimulation induced PD-L1+ monocytic myeloid cells
242 to migrate to the CNS, even in mice without EAE, where they are presumed to regulate the
243 inflammatory environment, although their suppressive ability was not determined [34, 43].

244
245 Validation that findings in EAE reflect the clinical situation in MS includes that neutrophils can play a
246 role in MS and that this may be protective [38], as in other autoimmune diseases [44]. This is
247 consistent with the role for IFN γ -producing Th1 and Th1/17 cells in MS [45]. The activation of
248 STAT3 that led to induction of G/PMN-MDSC in the CNS in the study by Knier et al. was interpreted
249 to be induced by IL-6 [38]. It remains to be determined whether other cytokines or ligands drive
250 MDSC transition in a neuroinflammatory context.

251
252 The fact that granulocytes are implicated in mediation of pathology, rather than protection, in NMOSD may
253 reflect a distinctive cytokine milieu in these primarily antibody+complement-mediated autoimmune diseases
254 [1, 46]. These cells are not well-represented in the current generation of animal models for NMOSD [46].
255 NMOSD patients either show no benefit or indeed worsening after treatment with IFN β , in contrast to
256 relapsing-remitting MS [47]. Dependence on Type I IFN signaling has been shown in mouse models for
257 NMOSD and anti-MOG-associated encephalitis, mirroring the clinical situation [48, 49]. One study suggests
258 that microglia may play a detrimental role in NMOSD [50], although this and signals that can activate
259 suppressor function for granulocytes remain to be more fully defined.

260

261 **Synthesis and Conclusions**

262 Like other organs, the CNS is equipped with resident myeloid cells. Moreover circulating myeloid
263 cells can enter the CNS upon stimulation. Parenchymal microglia fulfill tissue macrophage function,
264 via activities such as phagocytosis, and release of inflammatory signals, as well as repair and
265 regeneration-inducing mediators, so they can be deviated to either pro- or anti-pathologic status in a
266 context-dependent manner.

267 Monocytes and neutrophils are recruited chemotactically from the circulation as a consequence of
268 stimulation of innate receptors and undergo transition to functional MRCs. This is best described for
269 G/PMN-MDSCs which then act to suppress inflammation via arginine metabolites and iNOS, as well
270 as anti-inflammatory cytokines. M-MDSC may be more prevalent in the periphery, where induction
271 of Tregs suppresses inflammatory CD4+ T cell responses. PD-L1 induction is a common mechanism
272 of suppression, triggered by innate stimuli including inflammation-associated cytokines. See Figure 1
273 for a schematic overview to these points.

274 Unanswered questions include whether M-MRCs/M-MDSCs can exert functional suppression within
275 the CNS, and whether MRCs can protect against antibody-mediated inflammatory diseases such as

276 NMOSD. It should also be determined whether MRCs/MDSCs can be switched to being pro-
277 inflammatory and under what conditions. Understanding how to induce and maintain protective roles
278 for microglia and BAMs would have major implications for therapy in MS and other IDD. These are
279 challenges for the future.

280

281 **Author Contributions:** Preliminary draft was generated by TO, RK, AW and ABB. Figure 1 was
282 prepared by ABB. All authors contributed to revision and approved the final version of the
283 manuscript.

284 **Acknowledgments:** Research in the Owens lab was primarily supported by Scleroseforeningen,
285 Independent Research Fund Denmark, Lundbeckfonden.

286 **Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

287

288 References

- 289 1 Hoftberger R, Lassmann H. *Inflammatory demyelinating diseases of the central*
290 *nervous system*. Handbook of clinical neurology. 2017;145:263-83.
- 291 2 Engelhardt B, Vajkoczy P, Weller RO. *The movers and shapers in immune privilege of*
292 *the CNS*. Nat Immunol. 2017 Feb;18:123-31.
- 293 3 Bennett ML, Bennett FC, Liddel SA *et al*. *New tools for studying microglia in the*
294 *mouse and human CNS*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2016 Mar 22;113:E1738-46.
- 295 4 Goldmann T, Wieghofer P, Jordao MJ *et al*. *Origin, fate and dynamics of*
296 *macrophages at central nervous system interfaces*. Nat Immunol. 2016 Jul;17:797-805.
- 297 5 Baker D, Amor S. *Experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis is a good model of*
298 *multiple sclerosis if used wisely*. Multiple sclerosis and related disorders. 2014
299 2014/09/01/;3:555-64.
- 300 6 Ponomarev ED, Shriver LP, Maresz K, Dittel BN. *Microglial cell activation and*
301 *proliferation precedes the onset of CNS autoimmunity*. J Neurosci Res. 2005 Aug 1;81:374-
302 89.
- 303 7 Bhasin M, Wu M, Tsirka SE. *Modulation of microglial/macrophage activation by*
304 *macrophage inhibitory factor (TKP) or tuftsin (TKPR) attenuates the disease course of*
305 *experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis*. BMC Immunol. 2007 Jul 16;8:10.
- 306 8 Heppner FL, Greter M, Marino D *et al*. *Experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis*
307 *repressed by microglial paralysis*. Nat Med. 2005 Feb;11:146-52.

- 308 9 Goldmann T, Wieghofer P, Muller PF *et al.* *A new type of microglia gene targeting*
309 *shows TAK1 to be pivotal in CNS autoimmune inflammation.* Nat Neurosci. 2013
310 Nov;16:1618-26.
- 311 10 Nissen JC, Thompson KK, West BL, Tsirka SE. *Csf1R inhibition attenuates*
312 *experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis and promotes recovery.* Exp Neurol. 2018
313 Sep;307:24-36.
- 314 11 Tanabe S, Saitoh S, Miyajima H, Itokazu T, Yamashita T. *Microglia suppress the*
315 *secondary progression of autoimmune encephalomyelitis.* Glia. 2019;67:1694-704.
- 316 12 Willis EF, MacDonald KPA, Nguyen QH *et al.* *Repopulating Microglia Promote Brain*
317 *Repair in an IL-6-Dependent Manner.* Cell. 2020 Mar 5;180:833-46.e16.
- 318 13 Lloyd AF, Davies CL, Holloway RK *et al.* *Central nervous system regeneration is driven*
319 *by microglia necroptosis and repopulation.* Nat Neurosci. 2019 Jul;22:1046-52.
- 320 14 Kocur M, Schneider R, Pulm AK *et al.* *IFNbeta secreted by microglia mediates*
321 *clearance of myelin debris in CNS autoimmunity.* Acta neuropathologica communications.
322 2015;3:20.
- 323 15 Benmamar-Badel A, Owens T, Wlodarczyk A. *Protective Microglial Subset in*
324 *Development, Aging, and Disease: Lessons From Transcriptomic Studies.* Front Immunol.
325 2020;11:430.
- 326 16 Wlodarczyk A, Benmamar-Badel A, Cedile O *et al.* *CSF1R Stimulation Promotes*
327 *Increased Neuroprotection by CD11c+ Microglia in EAE.* Front Cell Neurosci. 2018;12:523.
- 328 17 Wlodarczyk A, Holtman IR, Krueger M *et al.* *A novel microglial subset plays a key role*
329 *in myelinogenesis in developing brain.* EMBO J. 2017 Nov 15;36:3292-308.
- 330 18 Wlodarczyk A, Cedile O, Jensen KN *et al.* *Pathologic and Protective Roles for*
331 *Microglial Subsets and Bone Marrow- and Blood-Derived Myeloid Cells in Central Nervous*
332 *System Inflammation.* Front Immunol. 2015;6:463.
- 333 19 Sato-Hashimoto M, Nozu T, Toriba R *et al.* *Microglial SIRPalpha regulates the*
334 *emergence of CD11c(+) microglia and demyelination damage in white matter.* Elife. 2019
335 Mar 26;8.
- 336 20 Remington LT, Babcock AA, Zehntner SP, Owens T. *Microglial recruitment, activation,*
337 *and proliferation in response to primary demyelination.* Am J Pathol. 2007 May;170:1713-24.

- 338 21 Laflamme N, Cisbani G, Prefontaine P *et al.* *mCSF-Induced Microglial Activation*
339 *Prevents Myelin Loss and Promotes Its Repair in a Mouse Model of Multiple Sclerosis.*
340 *Frontiers in cellular neuroscience.* 2018;12:178.
- 341 22 Tahmasebi F, Pasbakhsh P, Mortezaee K, Madadi S, Barati S, Kashani IR. *Effect of the*
342 *CSF1R inhibitor PLX3397 on remyelination of corpus callosum in a cuprizone-induced*
343 *demyelination mouse model.* *Journal of cellular biochemistry.* 2019 Jun;120:10576-86.
- 344 23 Wies Mancini VSB, Pasquini JM, Correale JD, Pasquini LA. *Microglial modulation*
345 *through colony-stimulating factor-1 receptor inhibition attenuates demyelination.* *Glia.* 2019
346 Feb;67:291-308.
- 347 24 Schlager C, Korner H, Krueger M *et al.* *Effector T-cell trafficking between the*
348 *leptomeninges and the cerebrospinal fluid.* *Nature.* 2016 Feb 18;530:349-53.
- 349 25 Mundt S, Mrdjen D, Utz SG, Greter M, Schreiner B, Becher B. *Conventional DCs*
350 *sample and present myelin antigens in the healthy CNS and allow parenchymal T cell entry*
351 *to initiate neuroinflammation.* *Science immunology.* 2019 Jan 25;4.
- 352 26 Jordao MJC, Sankowski R, Brendecke SM *et al.* *Single-cell profiling identifies myeloid*
353 *cell subsets with distinct fates during neuroinflammation.* *Science.* 2019 Jan
354 25;363:eaat7554.
- 355 27 Boros P, Ochando J, Zeher M. *Myeloid derived suppressor cells and autoimmunity.*
356 *Human Immunology.* 2016;77:631-6.
- 357 28 Su Z, Ni P, Zhou C, Wang J. *Myeloid-Derived Suppressor Cells in Cancers and*
358 *Inflammatory Diseases: Angel or Demon?* *Scandinavian Journal of Immunology.*
359 2016;84:255-61.
- 360 29 Amodio G, Cichy J, Conde P *et al.* *Role of myeloid regulatory cells (MRCs) in*
361 *maintaining tissue homeostasis and promoting tolerance in autoimmunity, inflammatory*
362 *disease and transplantation.* *Cancer immunology, immunotherapy : CII.* 2019 Apr;68:661-72.
- 363 30 Park MJ, Lee SH, Kim EK *et al.* *Interleukin-10 produced by myeloid-derived suppressor*
364 *cells is critical for the induction of Tregs and attenuation of rheumatoid inflammation in*
365 *mice.* *Scientific Reports.* 2018 Feb 28;8:3753.
- 366 31 Schreiner B, Bailey SL, Shin T, Chen L, Miller SD. *PD-1 ligands expressed on myeloid-*
367 *derived APC in the CNS regulate T-cell responses in EAE.* *Eur J Immunol.* 2008 Oct;38:2706-
368 17.

369 32 Ioannou M, Alissafi T, Lazaridis I *et al.* *Crucial role of granulocytic myeloid-derived*
370 *suppressor cells in the regulation of central nervous system autoimmune disease.* J Immunol.
371 2012 Feb 1;188:1136-46.

372 33 Zhang L, Yuan S, Cheng G, Guo B. *Type I IFN promotes IL-10 production from T cells to*
373 *suppress Th17 cells and Th17-associated autoimmune inflammation.* PloS one.
374 2011;6:e28432.

375 34 Khorrooshi R, Marczyńska J, Dieu RS *et al.* *Innate signaling within the central nervous*
376 *system recruits protective neutrophils.* Acta Neuropathol Commun. 2020 Jan 8;8:2.

377 35 Lund H, Pieber M, Parsa R *et al.* *Fatal demyelinating disease is induced by monocyte-*
378 *derived macrophages in the absence of TGF-beta signaling.* Nat Immunol. 2018 May;19:1-7.

379 36 Deerhake ME, Biswas DD, Barclay WE, Shinohara ML. *Pattern Recognition Receptors*
380 *in Multiple Sclerosis and Its Animal Models.* Frontiers in immunology. 2019 2019-November-
381 12;10.

382 37 Khorrooshi R, Morch MT, Holm TH *et al.* *Induction of endogenous Type I interferon*
383 *within the central nervous system plays a protective role in experimental autoimmune*
384 *encephalomyelitis.* Acta Neuropathol. 2015 Jul;130:107-18.

385 38 Knier B, Hiltensperger M, Sie C *et al.* *Myeloid-derived suppressor cells control B cell*
386 *accumulation in the central nervous system during autoimmunity.* Nat Immunol. 2018
387 Dec;19:1341-51.

388 39 Zehntner SP, Brickman C, Bourbonniere L, Remington L, Caruso M, Owens T.
389 *Neutrophils that infiltrate the central nervous system regulate T cell responses.* J Immunol.
390 2005 Apr 15;174:5124-31.

391 40 Melero-Jerez C, Suardiaz M, Lebron-Galan R *et al.* *The presence and suppressive*
392 *activity of myeloid-derived suppressor cells are potentiated after interferon-beta treatment*
393 *in a murine model of multiple sclerosis.* Neurobiol Dis. 2019 Jul;127:13-31.

394 41 Prinz M, Schmidt H, Mildner A *et al.* *Distinct and nonredundant in vivo functions of*
395 *IFNAR on myeloid cells limit autoimmunity in the central nervous system.* Immunity. 2008
396 May;28:675-86.

397 42 Teige I, Treschow A, Teige A *et al.* *IFN-beta gene deletion leads to augmented and*
398 *chronic demyelinating experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis.* J Immunol. 2003 May
399 1;170:4776-84.

- 400 43 White MPJ, Webster G, Leonard F, La Flamme AC. *Innate IFN-gamma ameliorates*
401 *experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis and promotes myeloid expansion and PDL-1*
402 *expression*. Scientific Reports. 2018 Jan 10;8:259.
- 403 44 Kim C-H, Yoo JK, Jeon SH *et al*. *Anti-psoriatic effect of myeloid-derived suppressor*
404 *cells on imiquimod-induced skin inflammation in mice*. Scandinavian Journal of Immunology.
405 2019;89:e12742.
- 406 45 van Langelaar J, van der Vuurst de Vries RM, Janssen M *et al*. *T helper 17.1 cells*
407 *associate with multiple sclerosis disease activity: perspectives for early intervention*. Brain.
408 2018 May 1;141:1334-49.
- 409 46 Duan T, Verkman AS. *Experimental animal models of aquaporin-4-IgG-seropositive*
410 *neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders: progress and shortcomings*. Brain Pathol. 2020
411 Jan;30:13-25.
- 412 47 Palace J, Leite MI, Nairne A, Vincent A. *Interferon Beta treatment in neuromyelitis*
413 *optica: increase in relapses and aquaporin 4 antibody titers*. Arch Neurol. 2010 Aug;67:1016-
414 7.
- 415 48 Berg CT, Khorrooshi R, Asgari N, Owens T. *Influence of type I IFN signaling on anti-*
416 *MOG antibody-mediated demyelination*. J Neuroinflammation. 2017 Jun 24;14:127.
- 417 49 Khorrooshi R, Wlodarczyk A, Asgari N, Owens T. *Neuromyelitis optica-like pathology is*
418 *dependent on type I interferon response*. Exp Neurol. 2013 Sep;247:744-7.
- 419 50 Chen T, Lennon VA, Liu YU *et al*. *Astrocyte-microglia interaction drives evolving*
420 *neuromyelitis optica lesion*. J Clin Invest. 2020 Jun 22.

421

422 **Figure legend**

423 **Major aspects of protective activities of myeloid cells in the CNS**

424 (Suppressive myeloid cells are labelled as MRC, which include MDSC).

425 Innate signaling triggers suppressive functionality in myeloid cells in the periphery. The Th1 cytokine
426 IFN γ has been shown to be necessary for this *in vitro* and *in vivo* and it can be extrapolated that it
427 plays a similar role within the CNS.

428 Tregs and NO are both induced but may not be sufficient for suppression of Th1 and Th17. PDL1-
429 expressing monocytic MRC were identified as active suppressors, and shown to migrate to the CNS in
430 mice, with or without EAE. IFN γ -responsive G-MRC were also identified as active suppressors.

431 Myeloid cells within the CSF are triggered to exert suppressive functions by innate signaling and an
432 associated chemokine response recruits granulocytes and monocytes from blood. Combined effects of

433 innate signals and cytokines+chemokines induce functionally suppressive G-MRC which contribute
434 to homeostasis via modulation of inflammation. Type I IFN signaling is required for this.
435 Resident parenchymal myeloid cells (microglia), and particularly protective subtypes, promote
436 homeostatic regeneration and repair via myelinogenic mediators as well as myelin clearance that is
437 necessary for remyelination. Extra-parenchymal resident myeloid cells also contribute to this
438 clearance.

Author Manuscript

