

## Studies on viral pneumonia related to novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, and **MERS-CoV**

### a literature review

Liya, Guo; Yuguang, Wang; Jian, Liu; Huaiping, Yuan; Xue, Han; Jianwei, Huo; Jiaju, Ma; Youran, Lu; Chen, Ming; Yiging, Jiao

Published in: APMIS

DOI: 10.1111/apm.13047

Publication date: 2020

Document version: Accepted manuscript

Citation for pulished version (APA):

Liya, G., Yuguang, W., Jian, L., Huaiping, Y., Xue, H., Jianwei, H., Jiaju, M., Youran, L., Chen, M., & Yiqing, J. (2020). Studies on viral pneumonia related to novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, and MERS-CoV: a literature review. APMIS, 128(6), 423-432. https://doi.org/10.1111/apm.13047

Go to publication entry in University of Southern Denmark's Research Portal

Terms of use

This work is brought to you by the University of Southern Denmark. Unless otherwise specified it has been shared according to the terms for self-archiving. If no other license is stated, these terms apply:

- You may download this work for personal use only.
  You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- · You may freely distribute the URL identifying this open access version

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details and we will investigate your claim. Please direct all enquiries to puresupport@bib.sdu.dk

Studies on viral pneumonia related to novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, and MERS-CoV: a literature review

Guo Liya<sup>1</sup>, Wang Yuguang<sup>1</sup>, Liu Jian<sup>1</sup>, Yuan Huaiping<sup>2</sup>, Han Xue<sup>1</sup>, Huo Jianwei<sup>1</sup>, Ma Jiaju<sup>1</sup>, Lu Youran<sup>1</sup>, Ming Chen<sup>3</sup>, Jiao Yiqing<sup>1</sup>

 Department of Respiratory, Beijing Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Capital Medical University, Beijing 100010, China

 Department of radiology, Fuyang Hospital of Anhui Medical University, Anhui, 236000, China

3. Department of Clinical Microbiology, Hospital of Southern Jutland, Aabenraa, 6200, Denmark

Co first author: Guo Liya, Wang Yuguang

Corresponding author: Jiao Yiqing, Email: jyq1898@qq.com, Tel: +86 010-52176034; Ming Chen, ming.chen@rsyd.dk, Tel.: +45 21158358

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/APM.13047

#### Summary

Coronaviruses are a class of RNA viruses that can cause respiratory and intestinal infections in animals and humans. SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV and a novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2 [2019nCoV]) belong to the family Coronaviridae and the genus Betacoronavirus. At present, the understanding of SARS-CoV-2 is getting deeper and deeper. In order to better prevent and treat SARS-CoV-2, this article compares the infectivity, pathogenicity, and related clinical characteristics of the three human pathogenic coronaviruses, SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV to help us further understand the pathogenic characteristics of novel coronaviruses. Key words: SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV; MERS-CoV; pneumonia; clinical features

Fund program: Beijing Municipal Administration of Hospitals' Ascent Plan, Code: DFL20150902

# Epidemiology

#### 1) Coronavirus and epidemiology

Coronaviruses (CoVs) are positive-sense, single-stranded RNA viruses with an envelope that can infect a variety of animals, including humans, and cause respiratory, intestinal, liver, and nervous system diseases (1). The viral genome encodes four or five structural proteins, namely spike protein, membrane protein, nucleocapsid protein, hemagglutinin esterase protein, and envelope protein. Spike protein is the most important surface membrane protein of coronavirus, which determines the host range and specificity of the virus. As the largest known RNA virus, the CoV subfamily is further divided into four genera: alpha, beta, gamma, and delta coronavirus. Including SARS-CoV-2, we have now identified seven human pathogenic coronavirus. Like SARS-CoV and MERS, SARS-CoV-2 belongs to beta-coronavirus (SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2: B lineage; MERS-CoV: C lineage). Each SARS-CoV-2 virion is approximately 50–200 nm in diameter. Like other coronaviruses, SARS-CoV-2 has four structural proteins, known as the S (spike), E (envelope), M (membrane), and N (nucleocapsid) proteins. Based on genome sequencing, 2019nCoV is about 82% identical to human SARS-CoV and about 50% to MERS-CoV (2).

The origin of the coronavirus remains unclear. In the early days of the SARS and MERS outbreaks, palm civet cats and camels were considered natural hosts for these two human coronaviruses (HcoVs). However, further virological and genetic studies have shown that bats are natural hosts for SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV (3, 4), and the palm civet and camel are intermediate hosts, before final transmission to humans. Studies have shown that bat coronavirus is the gene source of most alpha- and beta-coronaviruses, that thus far, all identified CoVs that can infect humans belong to these two genera. Special attention has been paid to beta-coronaviruses, which have caused two unexpected coronaviral epidemics, SARS and MERS, in the past decade. SARS-CoV-2 (2019-nCoV) is 96.2% identical to the coronavirus on bats at the genome-wide level, suggesting that bats are also natural hosts for the virus (5). At present, it is unclear whether there are one or more hosts in the process of transmitting bat-to-human SARS-CoV-2.

How SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 infect humans through animals is unknown. It may be related to direct contact with intermediate host animals or eating raw meat or infected milk. The SARS-CoV person-to-person transmission route is mainly by droplets, and the population is generally susceptible. MERS-CoV possible transmission routes between humans are droplet transmission and close contact transmission (6). MERS-CoV has been epidemic in hospitals and caused medical personnel to suffer from MERS (7, 8); SARS-CoV-2 spreads among the population by droplets and contact. In high-concentration environments, SARS-CoV-2 may be transmitted through aerosols (9). The population is generally susceptible to SARS-CoV-2. No SARS cases have

been reported globally since 2004. MERS was first diagnosed in Saudi Arabia in 2012. MERS spread to 27 countries and regions in the Middle East, Asia, and Europe, and 80% of cases came from Saudi Arabia (10, 11). SARS-CoV-2 is currently causing widespread epidemics worldwide.

2) Pathogenic mechanism

At present, the understanding of the pathogenic mechanism of HCoVs is limited. The key to HCoV infection is through binding to specific receptors into susceptible host cells.

Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) is a receptor for SARS-CoV (12). The receptor is a surface molecule located on arteriovenous endothelial cells, arterial smooth muscle cells, small intestinal epithelium and the respiratory tract. Hamming et al (13) have explored the expression of ACE2 protein in human organs (oral, nasal mucosa, nasopharynx, lung, stomach, small intestine, colon, skin, lymph nodes, thymus, bone marrow, spleen, liver, kidney, and brain). The results show that ACE2 is most abundantly expressed on the surface of alveolar epithelial cells and small intestinal epithelial cells, and ACE2 is also abundantly expressed on endothelial cells and smooth muscle cells in almost all organs. The amino acid sequence and predicted protein structure between the SARS-CoV-2 and the SARS-CoV receptor-binding domain (RBD) in the spike protein are highly similar. It is speculated that SARS-CoV-2 can use alveolar type II epithelial cells ACE2 as a receptor for cell invasion, thereby entering the bronchial epithelial cells. It is expected that there will be similarities in the clinical characteristics associated with the two viruses, especially in severe cases (14). Studies have shown that the affinity of SARS-CoV-2 for binding to ACE2 is higher than SARS-CoV (15).

Pipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4, also known as CD26) is a receptor for MERS-CoV (16), a versatile cell surface protein that is widely expressed on kidney, small intestine, liver, prostate epithelial cells and activated leukocytes. DPP4 is expressed in the upper respiratory epithelium of camels. In the

human respiratory tract, DPP4 is mainly expressed in the alveoli rather than the nasal or upper airways (17).

3) Diagnostic criteria

#### Chinese COVID-19 diagnosis criteria (9):

Suspected cases:

- a. Where there are any of the epidemiologic history items, and any 2 of the clinical presentations are met.
- b. Where there is no clear epidemiological history, and at least 3 of the clinical presentations are met.

1). Epidemiological history: a. Within 14 days prior to onset, had history of travel or residence in Wuhan or surrounding regions, or other communities reporting cases; b. Within 14 days prior to symptom onset, having had contact with patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 (positive nucleic acid test); c. Within 14 days prior to onset, had contact with patients who had a fever or respiratory tract symptoms that had come from Wuhan, its surrounding regions, or other communities reporting cases. d. Clustered onset (Within a span of 2 weeks, 2 or more cases with fever and/or respiratory symptoms appear in a small area, such as a family, an office, or a school class)

2). Clinical presentations: a. Fever and/or respiratory tract symptoms; b. Having the imaging features of novel coronavirus pneumonia, such as ground-glass opacity, paving stone sign and consolidation; c. During the early stages of the disease, white blood cell and lymphocyte count are normal or reduced and progressively decreased in severe stages.

# Confirmed cases:

A COVID-19 diagnosis is confirmed if the suspected cases also have one of the following etiological or serological evidence.

1). Positive result in real-time fluorescence RT-PCR detection of novel coronavirus nucleic acid;

2). The sequence of the virus is highly homologues to that of SARS-CoV-2.

3). Specific IgM and IgG antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 test positive in the serum; IgG antibodies specific to SARS-CoV-2 test positive after previous negative results, or increased by more than 4 times in the recovery phase compared to the acute phase.

## Criteria for the diagnosis of SARS (10):

#### Suspected cases:

A person presenting with fever > 38  $^{\circ}$ C; and cough or difficulty breathing; and either close contact with a person who is a suspect or probable case of SARS and/or history of travel or residence in an area with recent local transmission of SARS within 10 days of symptom onset.

## Clinical diagnosis of SARS:

A suspected case with radiographic findings of pneumonia or acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS); or a suspected case positive for SARS-CoV in one or more laboratory assays;

#### Confirmed cases:

A clinical diagnosis case with SARS-CoV RNA detected, or a positive SARS-CoV antibody, or a 4fold or higher antibody titer.

#### **MERS** laboratory confirmation criteria (6):

# Suspected cases:

The patient meets the epidemiological history and clinical manifestations, but there is no laboratory confirmation basis. a. Epidemiological history. Within 14 days prior to onset, had history of travel or residence in the Middle East and outbreak areas; or a history of close contact with suspected / clinical diagnosis / confirmed cases. b. Clinical manifestations. Fever that is difficult to explain with other pathogenic infections, with respiratory symptoms.

## Clinically diagnosed cases:

a. Patients who meet the criteria for suspected cases and only have laboratory-positive screening results (such as PCR or serum antibody positive). b. Patients who meet the criteria for suspected cases, and who have negative laboratory test results or cannot judge the results because there is only a single specimen collected or processed improperly.

### Confirmed cases:

Have one of the following 4 items: a. at least dual target PCR test positive; b. single target PCR positive products, confirmed by gene sequencing; c. isolated MERS-CoV from the respiratory specimen; d. The serum level of MERS-CoV antibody in the early phase was more than 4 times higher than that in the acute phase.

The overall diagnostic criteria for SARS, MERS, and COVID-19 coronavirus pneumonia are similar. They all need to be based on epidemiological history, clinical manifestations, and etiological results. The difference is that the diagnostic criteria for SARS does not emphasize laboratory tests. The confirmed cases of MERS did not mention the epidemiological history and clinical manifestations, only the etiology basis, and the diagnosis basis did not include imaging evidence. The diagnosis of COVID-19 emphasizes peripheral white blood cell and lymphocyte counts, and epidemiological history highlights clustered onsets. See Table 1 for details.

### **Clinical features**

 Differences in latency, incidence and high-risk population, clinical symptomology and prognosis:

SARS, MERS, and COVID-19 are similar in their incubation period. Older ages and underlying diseases (chronic lung disease, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, malignant tumor, kidney disease, cerebrovascular disease, and immunosuppression) are high risk factor for development to severe disease or death in the three diseases (6, 9, 20-22). All three can cause complications such as acute lung injury (ALI), acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), acute kidney injury (AKI), shock, and multiple organ failure, which are life-threatening (6, 9, 23, 24).

Differences:

A. Progression of disease: MERS can progress into severe disease within one week (6). SARS and COVID-19 mostly progress after one week; COVID-19 is mostly mild, but critical illness progresses quickly (9, 25);

B. The affected population: The majority of SARS patients are young adults. According a study(26) about 5327 cases in China, the main age of onset is between 20-60 years old (85% of the total)

number of cases), of which 20-29 years old have the highest proportion (30%). Half of MERS cases occur in people over 50 years old (27). Analysis of 4021 confirmed COVID-19 patients in China (the diagnosis date is January 26) shows that people of all ages are generally susceptible, of which 71.45% are 30-65 years old (28).

C. Clinical symptoms: COVID-19 has fewer gastrointestinal symptoms than SARS and MERS (29), lack of fever is more common in the early stages. Studies have shown that 43.8% of patients with COVID-19 initially develop fever symptoms, and no fever is more common than SARS-CoV (1%) and MERS-CoV infection (2%) (29). SARS patients do not often have upper respiratory tract catarrhal symptoms (18). Clinically asymptomatic cases have been seen in MERS and COVID-19 (6, 9). It has been reported (30) that compared with SARS, patients with MERS have a higher proportion of chronic diseases, developing respiratory failure, and receiving invasive mechanical ventilation. The incidence of AKI, the use of vasopressin, and the mortality rate are also higher in MERS. See Table 2 for details.

Laboratory features are generally similarIn principle all 7 can give pneumonia...

2)

The laboratory tests of the three types of coronavirus pneumonia are roughly the same. They all emphasize that the total number of white blood cells in the peripheral blood is normal, the number of lymphocytes is reduced, and the progressive reduction is severe (9). SARS proposes that the absolute value of lymphocytes is less than  $0.9 \times 10^9$ /L as the basis for auxiliary diagnosis, and> 1.2  $\times 10^9$ /L does not support diagnosis (18, 34). SARS highlights a reduction in CD4 + in peripheral blood T lymphocyte subsets (18). Blood routine, liver enzymes, myocardial enzymes, renal function, albumin levels, coagulation function, and electrolyte conditions suggest disease prognosis (6, 9, 23, 24, 29, 31, 32). See Table 3 for details. 3) Phased and characteristic evolution of coronavirus-induced pneumonia

Thoracic imaging is of great value in the diagnosis of COVID-19, monitoring of therapeutic efficacy and patient discharge assessment. A high-resolution CT is highly preferable in diagnosis.

The imaging features of SARS, MERS and COVID-19 are the same:

A. Staging evolution: Early lesions are limited, lesions are small, ground glass is dominant, the scope of the advanced stage is enlarged, the lesions become solid, and even show "white lung", the scope of the recovery period is reduced, and the density is reduced, fibrosis may appear in later stage (18, 21, 36).

B. Main manifestations: Ground glass, consolidation, and "paving stone sign". The ground glass was mainly in the initial stage, and the onset was mainly distributed in the lower lung and extra pulmonary zone (6, 18, 36, 38, 39).

Differences:

A. Image classification: SARS can be divided into four types: Type 1, the initial image performance deteriorates to a peak level, and then gradually improves; Type 2, static imaging performance; Type 3, fluctuating imaging changes; Type 4, progressively worsening imaging findings (45).

B. Main manifestations: Pleural effusion is relatively rare in cases of viral infection in the lungs, and is only found in SARS and COVID-19 heavy and critically severe phases (40, 41). However, MERS can be associated with pleural effusion (42), and is associated with prognosis adverse correlations (38), and studies (38, 39) showed that patients who died of MERS could see pleural effusion at week one. In addition, bilateral lung involvement is one of the risk factors for patients with MERS staying in the ICU (31). Organizing pneumonia can occur in patients with MERS and SARS (39, 43). In some cases of COVID-19, "halo sign" and "anti-halo sign" can be seen (37). See Table 4 for details

#### Treatment

At present, the main treatment methods for three types of coronavirus pneumonia are: symptomatic supportive treatment, close monitoring of patients' condition, active prevention and treatment of complications, and maintenance of intestinal function (6, 9, 18). With the continuous advancement of medical technology, symptomatic supportive therapies mainly based on oxygen therapy have gradually increased, such as nasal high-flow oxygen, external membrane oxygenation support technology (ECMO).

1) Antiviral therapy: There is currently no effective specific antiviral therapy. The currently available antiviral drugs include lopinavir, ritonavir, ribavirin, and remdesivir may be effective drugs in the future. (18, 30, 48). The combined use of ribavirin and lopinavir/ritonavir gives a better clinical outcome in treatment of SARS (48). The main problem with ribavirin is the significant incidence of adverse events (49). Lopinavir/ritonavir can improve MERS-CoV infection in animal models. *In vitro* experiments, interferon can effectively inhibit SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV (50, 51). Alpha-interferon nebulization, lopinavir/ritonavir, or ribavirin (9) may be used to treat COVID-19, but clinical efficacy needs to be further verified (52). Chinese national guideline on diagnosis and treatment of novel coronavirus pneumonia (9) recommends lopinavir/ritonavir for adult: 200mg/50mg/tablet, 2 tablets twice daily; the length of treatment should not exceed 10 days; ribavirin (in combination with interferon or lopinavir/ritonavir) for adult: 500mg twice or three times daily via IV, the length of treatment should not exceed 10 days. Remdesivir has demonstrated good inhibitory effects on SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV *in vitro* and in animal models, and the drug may be an effective therapeutic drug for COVID-19 (52). Chloroquine phosphate has anti-coronal virus activity, and has been recommended to treat COVID-19, but the side effects and the

interaction of other drugs must be paid attention to (53). Chloroquine has been shown to be effective *in vitro* against a broad range of viruses, and the preventive and therapeutic effects of this drug on patients with COVID-19 are also being further evaluated (54). Clinical studies have shown that the superiority of chloroquine compared with treatment of the control group in terms of reduction of exacerbation of pneumonia, duration of symptoms and delay of viral clearance. This drug could also reduce the length of hospital stay and improve the evolution of COVID-19 (55)

2) Glucocorticoids: Glucocorticoid therapy should strictly follow the indications, usage and dosage, and be alert to complications. The main indications are the deterioration of lung lesions and the appearance of ALI or ARDS. Glucocorticoid were widely used during the SARS outbreak, often in combination with ribavirin (30), but glucocorticoid therapy was associated with a subsequent higher plasma viral load (56) and increased complications. The use of glucocorticoid may be helpful in treating suspected MERS-induced pneumonia (43). Retrospective studies of SARS and MERS have shown that improper use of glucocorticoid can increase mortality (52). Studies have shown that 18.6% of 1099 patients with COVID-19 were treated with systemic glucocorticoids, and the proportion of patients in the severe group was higher than that in the non-severe group (44.5% vs 13.7%, P <0.001) (29).

3) Antibacterial drugs: It is often used in secondary bacterial or fungal infections. Irrational use of antibacterial drugs should avoid (47).

4) Other treatments: Serum therapy, psychological therapy, and traditional Chinese medicine treatment during recovery period (6, 18). Patients' convalescent plasma may contain antibodies that can neutralize the virus, which can be used as a potential therapy for sudden infectious diseases such as SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV (57-59). The seventh edition of China's New Coronary Virus Pneumonia Diagnosis and Treatment Program includes recovery period serum therapy into the

treatment method, and proposes its indications as patients with rapid disease progression, severe and critically ill. Its safety and effectivity need further exploration (52). See Table 5 for details.

## Summary

SARS-CoV-2 is the seventh coronavirus that can infect human beings discovered so far. It belongs to the coronavirus  $\beta$  genus with MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV. The main transmission routes are droplet transmission and contact transmission. The clinical features of pneumonia caused by SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 are similar, but there are also differences. In terms of diagnosis, SARS diagnostic criteria does not emphasize relevant laboratory tests, MERS diagnosis does not include imaging evidence, and COVID-19 diagnosis emphasizes peripheral blood leukocyte and lymphocyte counts and aggregated onset. In terms of clinical characteristics, SARS is more common in young people, Mild cases COVID-19 is more common, and the proportion of patients with initial fever and gastrointestinal symptoms is less than MERS and SARS; MERS and COVID-19 are more common in middle-aged people; Asymptomatic cases can be seen in MERS and COVID-19. In terms of imaging, pleural effusion in MERS is more common than the other two coronavirus pneumonia and is associated with prognosis of disease. About 20% of patients whose can suddenly become worse and develop into severe and critical cases, during the progression stage of SARS and COVID-19. In terms of treatment: symptomatic supportive therapy is currently dominating, and there is no effective specific antiviral therapy. Antiviral therapy or other related therapies still need further research to find more precisely clinical evidence. Irrational use of antibacterial drugs and glucocorticoids should be avoided, and indications require strict control.

Special thanks: Mr. Chen Qihang, Professor of Radiology, Beijing Hospital, Ministry of Health, and Mr. Gao Li, Medical Imaging Department of Peking University First Hospital, for their great help and revision of the manuscript.

#### References:

1. Weiss SR, Leibowitz JL. Coronavirus pathogenesis. Adv. Virus Res. 2011;81:85-164.

2. Lu R, Zhao X, Li J, Niu P, Yang B, Wu H, et al. Genomic characterisation and epidemiology of 2019 novel coronavirus: implications for virus origins and receptor binding. Lancet 2020;395:565-74.

3. Li W, Shi Z, Yu M, Ren W, Smith C, Epstein JH, et al. Bats are natural reservoirs of SARS-like coronaviruses. Science 2005; 310:676–9.

4. Ithete NL, Stoffberg S, Corman VM, Cottontail VM, Richards LR, Schoeman MC, et al. Close relative of human Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus in bat, South Africa. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 2013;19:1697–9.

5. Zhou P, Yang XL, Wang XG, Ben H, Zhang L, Zhang W, et al. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. Nature 2020; 579:270-3.

6. National Health and Family Planning Commission of People's Republic of China. Guideline on diagnosis and treatment of Middle East respiratory syndrome (2015 version). Chin J Viral Dis. 2015;5:37-39.

7. Hunter JC, Nguyen D, Aden B, Al Bandar Z, Al Dhaheri W, Abu Elkheir K, et al. Transmission of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infections in healthcare settings, Abu Dhabi. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 2016;22:647–56.

8. Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Outbreak in the Republic of Korea, 2015. Osong Public Health and Research Perspectives 2015;6:269-78.

9. National Health Commission of People's Republic of China. Guideline on diagnosis and treatment of novel coronavirus pneumonia (7<sup>st</sup> trial version) [EB/OL]. (2020-03-04). <u>http://www.gov.cn/zhengce/zhengceku/2020-</u>

03/04/5486705/files/ae61004f930d47598711a0d4cbf874a9.pdf

10. Chinese center for disease control and prevention.

http://www.chinacdc.cn/jkzt/crb/zl/szkb\_11803/jszl\_2275/202001/t20200121\_211326.html

11. WHO. https://www.who.int/zh/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/middle-east-respiratory-syndrome-coronavirus-(mers-cov)

12. Ren W, Qu X, Li W, Han Z, Yu M, Zhou P, et al. Difference in Receptor Usage Between Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) Coronavirus and SARS-like Coronavirus of Bat Origin. J Virol. 2008;82:1899-907.

13. Hamming I, Timens W, Bulthuis MLC, Lely AT, Navi GL, van Goor H. Tissue distribution of ACE2 protein, the functional receptor for SARS coronavirus. A first step in understanding SARS pathogenesis. J. Pathol. 2004;203:631-7.

14. Zhou Z, Yang XL, Wang XG, Hu B, Zhang L, Zhang W et al. Discovery of a novel coronavirus associated with the recent pneumonia outbreak in humans and its potential bat origin. bioRxiv 2020. doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.01.22.914952

15. Daniel W, Wang N, Corbett KS, Goldsmith JA, Hsieh CL, Abiona O, et al. Cryo-EM structure of the 2019-nCoVspike in the prefusion conformation. Science 2020; 367: 1260–1263.

16. Meyerholz DK, Lambertz AM, PB MC Jr. Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 distribution in the human respiratory tract: implications for the Middle East respiratory syndrome. Am J Pathol. 2016;186:78–86.

17. Widagdo W, Raj VS, Schipper D, Kolijn K, van Leenders GJ, Bosch BJ, et al. Differential expression of the Middle East
respiratory syndrome coronavirus receptor in the upper respiratory tracts of humans and dromedary camels. J. Virol. 2016;90:4838–
42.

18. Chinese Medical Association, China Association of Chinese Medicine. Guideline on diagnosis and treatment of Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). National Medical Journal of China 2003;83:1731-1752.

19. Yanbin Guo. Clinical classification and early warning index of SARS. China Medical News 2003;(12):7.

20. Chan KS, Zheng JP, Mok YW, Li YM, Liu YN, Chu CM, et al. SARS: prognosis, outcome and sequelae. Respirology 2003;8:S36-40.

21. Heshui Shi, Xiaoyu Han, Jiang J, Cao Y, Alwalid, O, Yanqing Fan, et al. Radiological findings from 81 patients with COVID-19 pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. Lancet Infect Dis 2020;20:425–34.

22. Liao Xuelian, Wang Bo, Kang Yan, Novel coronavirus infection during the 2019-2020 epidemic: preparing intensive care unitsthe experience in Sichuan Province, China. Intensive Care Med. 2020;46:357-360

 Booth CM, Matukas LM, George TA, Rachlis, AR, Rose DB, <u>Dwosh HA</u>, et al. Clinical features and short-term outcomes of 144 patients with SARS in the greater Toronto area. JAMA 2003;289:2801-9.

24. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu Z, Liu X, Zhang J, et al. Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients With 2019 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA 2020;323:1061-1069.

25. Chinese Society of Extracorporeal Life Support. Recommendations on extracorporeal life support for critically ill patients with novel coronavirus pneumonia. Chinese Journal of Tuberculosis and Respiratory Diseases 2020;43(00):E009-

E009.DOI:10.3760/cma.j.issn.1001-0939.2020.0009.

26. Zhong NS. Diagnosis and Treatment of Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). J Med J China 2003;83:1731-52.

27. Assiri A, Al-Tawfiq JA, Al-Rabeeah AA, Al-Rabiah FA, Al-Hajjar S, Al-Barrak A, et al. Epidemiological, demographic, and clinical characteristics of 47 cases of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus disease from Saudi Arabia: a descriptive study. Lancet Infect. Dis. 2013;13:752–61.

28. Special Expert Group for Control of the Epidemic of Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia of the Chinese Preventive Medicine Association. An update on the epidemiological characteristics of novel coronavirus pneumonia (COVID-19). Chin J Viral Dis 2020;10:81-86. <u>https://doi.org/10.16505/j.2095-0136.2020.0015</u>.

29. Guan WJ, NI ZY, Hu T, Liang WH, Ou CQ, He JX, et al. Clinical characteristics of 2019 novel coronavirus infection in China. New England Journal of Medicine 2020 Feb 28. doi: <u>10.1056/NEJMoa2002032</u>

30. Yin Y, Wunderink RG, MERS, SARS and other coronaviruses as causes of pneumonia. Respirology 2018;23:130-137.

31. Nunes MC, Kuschner Z, Rabede Z, Madimabe R, Van Niekerk N, Moloi J, et al. Clinical epidemiology of bocavirus, rhinovirus, two polyomaviruses and four coronaviruses in HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected South African children. PLoS One 2014;9:e86448.

32. Woo P C Y, Lau S K P, Yuen K Y. Clinical features and molecular epidemiology of coronavirus-HKU1-associated communityacquired pneumonia. Hong Kong Med J 2009;15:46-7.

33. Saad m, Omrani AS, Kamran B, Bahloul A, Elzein F, Matin MA, et al. Clinical aspects and outcomes of 70 patients with Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection: a single-center experience in Saudi Arabia. Int. J. Infect. Dis. 2014;29:301-6.

34. Arabi YM, Arifi AA, Balkhy HH, Najm H, Aldawood AS, Ghabashi A, et al. Clinical course and outcomes of critically ill patients with Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection. Ann. Intern. Med. 2014;160:389–97.

35. Peiris JS, Chu CM, Cheng VC, Chan KS, Hung IF, Poon LL, et al. Clinical progression and viral load in a community outbreak of coronavirus-associated SARS pneumonia: a prospective study. Lancet 2003;361:1767-72.

36. People's Medical Publishing House, Committee of the Infectious Diseases Radiology Group of Chinese Society of Radiology, Committee of the Infectious Diseases Radiology Section of Chinese Medical Doctor Association, et al. Guideline for imaging diagnosis of novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) infected pneumonia (1<sup>st</sup> edition 2020). New Medicine 2020;30(1):22-34.

37. Chinese Society of Radiology. Radiological Diagnosis of New Coronavirus Infected Pneumonitis: Expert Recommendation from the Chinese Society of Radiology (First edition). Chinese Journal of Radiology 2020;54: E001-E001.DOI:10.3760/cma.j.issn.1005-1201.2020.0001.

38. Das KM, Lee EY, Al Jawder SE, Mushira SR, Enani MA, Singh R et al. Acute Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus: Temporal Lung Changes Observed on the Chest Radiographs of 55 Patients. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2015;205:W267-74. 39. Ajlan AM, Ahyad RA, Jamjoom LG, Alharthy A, Madani TA. Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (MERS-CoV) Infection: Chest CT Findings. AJR American Journal of Roentgenology 2014;203:782-787.

40. Koo Hyun Jung, Lim Soyeoun, Choe Jooae, Sung H, Do KH. Radiographic and CT Features of Viral Pneumonia. Radiographics 2018;38:719-739.

41. Huang Lu, Han Rui, Yu Pengxin. A correlation study of CT and clinical features of different clinical types of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia. Chinese Journal of Radiology 2020;54:E003-E003.DOI:10.3760/cma.j.issn.1005-1201.2020.0003.

42. Das K M, Lee E Y, Langer R D, Larsson SG. Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus: What Does a Radiologist Need to Know? American Journal of Roentgenology 2016;206:1-9.

43. Kim I, Lee J E, Kim K H, Lee S, Lee K, Mok JH. Successful treatment of suspected organizing pneumonia in a patient with Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection: a case report. Journal of Thoracic Disease 2016;8:E1190-E1194.

44. van den Brand JM, Smits SL, Haagmans BL. Pathogenesis of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus. The Journal of Pathology 2014;235:175-84.

45. Wong K T, Antonio Gregory E, Hui DS, Lee N, Yuen EH, Wu A. Severe acute respiratory syndrome:radiographic appearances and pattern of progression in 138 patients. Radiology 2003;228:401-6.

46. Chan MS, Chan IY, Fung KH, Poon E, Yam LY, Lau KY. High-Resolution CT Findings in Patients with Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome: A Pattern-Based Approach. American Journal of Roentgenology 2004;182:49-56.

47. Müller, NL, Ooi G, Khong P, Nicolaou S. Severe acute respiratory syndrome: Radiographic and CT findings. Computerized Tomography Theory & Applications 2003;181:3-8.

48. Chu CM, Cheng VC, Hung IF, Wong MM, Chan KH, Chan KS, et al. HKU/UCH SARS Study Group. Role of lopinavir/ritonavir in the treatment of SARS: initial virological and clinical findings. Thorax 2004;59:252-6.

49. Booth CM, Matukas LM, Tomlinson GA, Rachlis AR, Rose DB, Dwosh HA, et al. Clinical features and short-term outcomes of 144 patients with SARS in the greater Toronto area. JAMA 2003;290:334.

50. Morgenstern B, Michaelis M, Baer PC, Doerr HW, Cinatl J Jr. Ribavirin and interferon-beta synergistically inhibit SARSassociated coronavirus replication in animal and human cell lines.Biochem.Biophys.Res.Commun. 2005;326:905-8.

51. Chan JF, Chan KH, Kao RY, To KK, Zheng BJ, Li CP, et al. Broad-spectrum antivirals for the emerging Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus. J. Infect. 2013;67:606-16.

52. Zhu NW, Zhao P, Qi ZT. Current status of treatment for 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia. Chin J Microbiol Immunol,

2020;40:E002-E002.DOI:10.3760/cma.j.issn.0254-5101.2020.0002.

53. The multicenter collaboration group of Department of Science and Technology of Guangdong Province and Health Commission of Guangdong Province for chloroquine in the treatment of novel coronavirus pneumonia. Expert consensus on chloroquine phosphate for the treatment of novel coronavirus pneumonia. Chinese Journal of Tuberculosis and Respiratory Diseases 2020;43 (2020-02-20). http://rs.yiigle.com/yufabiao/1182323.htm. DOI: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.1001-0939.2020.0019.

54. Colson P, Rolain JM, Raoult D, Chloroquine for the 2019 novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2.Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 2020,55:105923.

55. Colson P, Rolain JM, Lagier JC, Brouqui P, Raoult D. et al. Chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine as available weapons to fight COVID-19. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 2020, undefined: 105932.

56. Lee N, Allen Chan KC, Hui DS, Ng EK, Wu A, Chiu RW, et al. Effects of early corticosteroid treatment on plasma SARSassociated Coronavirus RNA concentrations in adult patients. J.Clin.Virol. 2004;31:304-9.

57. Mair-Jenkins J, Saavedra-Campos M, Baillie JK. Cleary P, Khaw FM, Lim WS, et al. The effectiveness of convalescent plasma and hyperimmune immunoglobulin for the treatment of severe acute respiratory infections of viral etiology:a systematic review and exploratory meta-analysis. J infect Dis. 2015;211:80-90.

58. Marano G, Vaglio S, Pupella S, Facco G, Catalano L, Liumbruno GM. Convalescent plasma: new evidence for an old therapeutic tool?. Blood Transfusion 2016;14:152-157.

59. Zhao JP, Hu Y, Du RH, Chen ZS, Jin Y, Zhou M. Expert consensus on the use of corticosteroid in patients with 2019-nCoV pneumonia. Chinese Journal of Tuberculosis and Respiratory Diseases 2020;43(00):E007-E007.DOI:10.3760/cma.j.issn.1001-0939.2020.0007.

**Table 1.** Comparing diagnostic criteria for SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2 related pneumonia <sup>(6, 9, 18, 19)</sup>

	SARS	MERS	COVID-19
Suspected cases	Lack of epidemiological history (EH), but with other support for SARS	EH + symptoms (fever which is difficult to explain with other pathogenic infections, with respiratory symptoms)	EH + clinical presentations (CP) (a. fever and/or respiratory tract symptoms; b. chest imaging features; c. white blood cell and lymphocyte count are normal or reduced.)
Clinical diagnosis	EH + symptoms + imaging + exclusion of other diseases	Suspected cases + pathogen (laboratory screening results)	EH + 2 of CP or 3 of CP without EH
Confirmation of diagnosis	Clinical diagnosis + pathogen identified	Pathogen identified	Suspected cases + pathogen identified
Difference	Clinical diagnosis emphasizes exclusion;	Clinical symptoms emphasize differentiation;	Epidemiological history including clustered onset
	Suspected cases may lack a clear epidemiological evidence	Confirmed cases depend only on etiology; No mention of imaging	Emphasis on peripheral blood test results

	SARS	MERS	COVID-19
Incubation period	2-14 days	2-14 days	1-14 days, most cases are 3- 7 days
Onset and	Urgent onset, mostly in 8-	Severe cases usually	Severe cases often have
course	14 days, dyspnea and	progress to severe	dyspnea and / or hypoxemia
evolution	hypoxemia often appear 6-12 days after onset	pneumonia within one week	one week after onset
Age	20-60 years old, mainly young adults	Median age: 56 years old, 50% >50 years old	40-60 years old
Clinical	Fever $> 38^{\circ}$ C, persistent	Fever; chills,	Fever (low or medium grad
features	fever; chills, myalgias,	fatigue, myalgia;	fever in severe and critical
	fatigue; dry cough, sore	cough, chest pain,	cases or even no fever);
	throat; respiratory	dyspnea; vomiting,	fatigue; dry cough; stuffy
	distress; diarrhea,	diarrhea; some	nose, runny nose, sore
	vomiting; often without catarrhal symptoms	patients may be asymptomatic	throat; diarrhea; mild cases may not have pneumonia symptoms
High risk	> 50 years; suffering from	> 65 years; obesity;	≥65 years; T <37.5 °C;
factors	other diseases; recent	suffering from other	suffering from other
	major surgical history;	diseases; co-	diseases; In peripheral
	progressive decrease in	infection	blood: WBC $< 4 \times 10^9/L$
	peripheral blood		or > $10 \times 10^9$ /L, lymphocyte
G	lymphocyte count		count and platelet reduction
Severe	R>30 times/min,	Double lung	R>30 times/min, oxygen
features	multilobular lesions $> 1/3$	involvement with	saturation <93%,
	of total lung area, lesion	ARDS, acute renal	oxygenation index
	enlargement $>50\%$ and $1/4$ of total lung area in	failure, MODS	<300mmHg; respiratory
	1/4 of total lung area in 48h, oxygenation index		failure, mechanical ventilation requires, shock,
	<300mmHg, shock or		combined with other organ
	MODS		failure, requires ICU
	MODS		monitoring
Complications	Acute lung injury, ARDS, AKI, shock, AMI, arrhythmia, MODS, difficult to correct metabolic acidosis, coagulation dysfunction, etc.		
Difference	More common in young	Short time to	Most cases are mild cases,
	people; Urgent onset, No	development of	rapid progress in severe
	catarrh symptoms;	severe illness;	cases; No fever in early
		Visible	stage is common; Less
		asymptomatic cases;	gastrointestinal symptoms;
			there are asymptomatic
			cases;

**Table 2.** Comparing clinical features of SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2 related pneumonia (6, 9, 18, 20-23, 25, 29-34)

ARDS: Acute respiratory distress syndrome

AKI: Acute kidney injury

AMI: Acute myocardial injury

MODS: Multiple organ dysfunction syndrome.

**Table 3.** Comparing laboratory examination characteristics of SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2 related pneumonia <sup>(6, 9, 18, 23, 24, 29, 31-33)</sup>

	SARS	MERS	COVID-19
WBC	WBC normal or decrease	Reduction of absolute lymphocyte count	lymphocyte progressive decrease in Severe cases
Biochemistry	Creatine kinase (CK), liver enzymes, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), creatinine, myoglobin, and troponin increase.		
	CD3 <sup>+</sup> , CD4 <sup>+</sup> , CD8 <sup>+</sup> are all decreased, CD4 <sup>+</sup> significantly decreased		C-reactive protein (CRP), erythrocyte sedimentation, and D-dimer are increased, procalcitonin normal
Risk factors for poor or severe prognosis	High neutrophil count, blood urea nitrogen, serum creatinine and CK, longer partial thromboplastin time, low blood sodium concentration and platelets	Peripheral blood lymphocytes and neutrophils decrease $(<0.5 \times 10^{9}/L)$ , thrombocytopenia, albumin decrease (<35g/L)	LDH, liver enzymes, total bilirubin, blood urea nitrogen, blood creatinine, troponin, D- dimer and CRP increase; WBC and neutrophil, hemoglobin concentration, and blood potassium concentration decrease

	SARS	MERS	COVID-19
Image staging (type)	Early, progressive and recovery stages. Type I (70.3%), II (17.4%) III (7.3%), IV (5.1%)*	Type I-IV**	Early, progressive, sever and critical stages
Common features	Ground glass (common, early stage), consolidation, "paving stone sign" (early stage)	Ground glass (wider), consolidation	Ground glass (common), consolidation, "white lung", "paving stone sign",
Other features	Mediastinal emphysema, pneumothorax	Pleural effusion, pneumothorax	"Halo Sign", "Anti-Halo Sign"
Rare features	Pleural effusion, lymphadenopathy, cavitation, calcification, mesh, nodule	Cavitation	Lymph node enlargement, pleural effusion only seen in severe and critical stages
Distributed	All are extrapulmonary and more common in the lower lung		
Process evolution	Severe progress is rapid and can be significantly worsened in 2 days; Ground glass $\rightarrow$ mixed density $\rightarrow$ consolidation; focal $\rightarrow$ multifocal $\rightarrow$ diffusion; lower lobe $\rightarrow$ diffusion; single lung $\rightarrow$ double lung; outer band $\rightarrow$ inner and outer band $\rightarrow$ diffusion (SARS); outer band $\rightarrow$ mid-outer band $\rightarrow$ diffusion (COVID- 19); can eventually be "white lung"; In recovery stage, range of lesions becomes smaller and the density becomes lighter; a few cases develop pulmonary fibrosis		

**Table 4.** Comparing imaging features of SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2 related pneumonia <sup>(6, 9, 18, 19, 21, 23, 24, 31, 36-47)</sup>

\* Four imaging modes of SARS:

Type I: Initial image deteriorates to a peak level and then gradually improves; Type II: Fluctuating imaging changes; Type III: Static imaging presentation; Type IV: Progressive deteriorating imaging (45).

\*\*Four imaging modes of MERS:

Type I: Initial image deteriorates to a peak level and then gradually improves; Type II: Static imaging presentation; Type III: Fluctuating imaging changes; Type IV: Progressive deteriorating imaging (38).

**Table 5.** Comparing treatment of SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2 related pneumonia <sup>(6, 9, 18, 25, 29, 30, 43, 48-52, 54-57)</sup>

	SARS	MERS	COVID-19
General treatment	Supportive treatment: bed rest, maintaining caloric intake, water and electrolyte balance		
Monitor	All vital signs, arterial blood gas analysis, hematology panel, routine urinalysis, CRP, biochemistry, coagulation, chest radiography, etc.		
Respiratory support	Nasal catheter, oxygen mask, mechanical ventilation	The left three + ECMO	The left four + high flow nasal cannula
Antiviral drugs	No specific drugs have been found;	No clear effective drugs;	No confirmed effective antiviral treatment; can try Interferon-alpha, lopinavir/ritonavir, ribavirin and chloroquine
Glucocorticoid	One of the followings, Severe poisoning symptoms, persistent high fever; rapid progress on chest radiographs; ALI or ARDS. Improper using can	Indication: Presence of organizing pneumonia; Improper using can increases mortality	Indication: Confirmed diagnosis with rapidly progression; sever and critical stage; persistent high fever > $39^{\circ}$ C, CT imaging or > 30% lung; CT rapid progression > 50% lung in $48$ hrs; IL-6 $\geq$ 5 ULN
Recovery serum	increase mortality Potential therapies for high-risk patients	Latent therapy	Rapidly progression; severe, and critically ill patients
Other treatment	Antibacterial treatment, psychological treatment, Chinese medicine treatment, maintaining gastrointestinal function		