

Data on an intervention to reduce readmissions after open heart valve surgery

Borregaard, Britt; Dahl, Jordi Sanchez; Riber, Lars Peter Schødt; Ekholm, Ola; Sibilitz, Kirstine Lærum; Weiss, Marc; Sørensen, Jan; Berg, Selina Kikkenborg; Møller, Jacob Eifer

Published in: Data in Brief

DOI: 10.1016/j.dib.2019.103926

Publication date: 2019

Document version: Final published version

Document license: CC BY

Citation for pulished version (APA): Borregaard, B., Dahl, J. S., Riber, L. P. S., Ekholm, O., Sibilitz, K. L., Weiss, M., Sørensen, J., Berg, S. K., & Møller, J. E. (2019). Data on an intervention to reduce readmissions after open heart valve surgery. *Data in* Brief, 24, Article 103926. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2019.103926

Go to publication entry in University of Southern Denmark's Research Portal

Terms of use

This work is brought to you by the University of Southern Denmark. Unless otherwise specified it has been shared according to the terms for self-archiving. If no other license is stated, these terms apply:

- · You may download this work for personal use only.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying this open access version

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details and we will investigate your claim. Please direct all enquiries to puresupport@bib.sdu.dk

Data in brief 24 (2019) 103926



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Data Article

Data on an intervention to reduce readmissions after open heart valve surgery



Britt Borregaard ^{a, b, c, *}, Jordi Sanchez Dahl ^{c, d}, Lars Peter Schødt Riber ^{a, c}, Ola Ekholm ^e, Kirstine Lærum Sibilitz ^f, Marc Weiss ^{a, d}, Jan Sørensen ^{g, h}, Selina Kikkenborg Berg ^{f, i}, Jacob Eifer Møller ^{c, d}

^a Department of Cardiothoracic and Vascular Surgery, Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark

^b OPEN, Odense Patient Data Explorative Network, Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark

^c Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark

^d Department of Cardiology, Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark

 ${}^{\rm e}$ National Institute of Public Health, University of Southern Denmark, Copenhagen, Denmark

^f The Heart Centre, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark

^g Centre for Health Economics Research (COHERE), National Institute of Public Health, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark

^h Healthcare Outcomes Research Centre (HORC), Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland, Dublin, Ireland ⁱ Department of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Denmark

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 2 March 2019 Received in revised form 18 March 2019 Accepted 9 April 2019 Available online 23 April 2019

Keywords: Heart valves Readmission Propensity matched Heart valve surgery

ABSTRACT

Data describe supplementary tables and figures related to the research article; Effect of early, individualised and intensified follow-up after open heart valve surgery on unplanned cardiac hospital readmissions and all-cause mortality [1].

Data on patients undergoing open heart valve surgery were presented in 308 patients in a prospective cohort and compared with 980 patients in a historical cohort. Included figures show inclusion and exclusion of patients (flowchart) and the specific elements of the intervention. Tables show causes of readmission and sensitivity analyses of differences among patients in the prospective intervention group compared with patients in the historical

DOI of original article: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2019.02.056.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2019.103926

^{*} Corresponding author. Department of Cardiothoracic and Vascular Surgery, Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark. *E-mail address:* britt.borregaard@rsyd.dk (B. Borregaard).

^{2352-3409/© 2019} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

control group. Further results, interpretation and discussion of the included data can be found in the main research paper. © 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Specifications Table

Subject area	Health Science
More specific subject area	Cardiac surgery, Cardiology
Type of data	Tables, figures
How data was acquired	Prospective cohort study compared with historical control group
Data format	Analysed
Experimental factors	Effect of an intervention consisting of early, individualised and intensified follow-up after open heart valve surgery
Experimental features	Patients undergoing open heart valve surgery were included in a prospective cohort. The intervention was initiated with a risk assessment performed at discharge, resulting in patients considered being at high, intermediate or low risk of readmission. Follow-up after discharge was planned according to the risk assessment and lasted for four weeks.
Data source location	Region of Southern Denmark, Denmark
Data accessibility	The data are available with this article. The raw data are available from corresponding author after approval by the Danish Data Protection Agency
Related research article	Borregaard B, Dahl J, Riber LPS, Ekholm O, Sibilitz KL, Weiss M, Sørensen J, Berg SK, Møller JE. Effect of early, individualised and intensified follow-up after open heart valve surgery on unplanned cardiac hospital readmissions and all-cause mortality. Int J Cardiol 2019. 2019/04/23

Value of the data

 Data presented in the current paper provide information about consecutive patients undergoing open heart valve surgery, including a prospective cohort enrolled in an intervention programme aiming to reduce readmissions.

- Specific elements of the intervention consisting of early, individualised and intensified follow-up are visualised and can be used by clinicians for future outpatient follow-up.
- Detailed data on different causes of cardiac readmission, including differences among intervention and control group are
 provided. Data can help caregivers gain knowledge of readmission patterns after open heart valve surgery.
- The provided data can be useful for other multidisciplinary teams, as the effect of the intervention can be implemented and tested in other populations of patients undergoing open heart valve surgery.

1. Data

The data shared are supplementary tables and figures of analysed data from the INVOLVE study. In brief, data on a prospective consecutive cohort of patients were compared with data from a historical, control group. Data were based on adult patients undergoing open heart valve surgery at a tertiary hospital in Denmark. Fig. 1 outlines flowchart of included patients in both prospective and historical cohort, and the specific elements of the intervention are visualised in Fig. 2. Causes of readmissions and differences among the prospective cohort and the historical control group are summarised in Table 1. Finally, in Table 2, differences in readmissions rates are described, including differences in unplanned and planned cardiac hospital readmissions, all-cause readmissions and mortality among the intervention group and the historical control group.



Fig. 1. Flowchart of included patients. Flowchart of included patients in the prospective intervention group and the historical control group. The period from August 2016 to October 2016 was excluded while the included health care professionals underwent training of the intervention in clinical practice.

2. Experimental design, materials and methods

2.1. Population

To obtain the present data, we included adult patients undergoing heart valve surgery at Odense University Hospital, Denmark, within two different time periods. Patients included in the intervention period were consecutively enrolled in a prospective cohort from November 2016 to November 2017. Patients undergoing heart valve surgery at the same hospital during the period from August 2013 to July 2016 comprised the historical control group. Exclusion criteria were; patients living outside the Region of Southern Denmark, patients undergoing transcatheter aortic valve procedures (transfemoral, transapical or transaortic), patients developing endocarditis during index admission/surgery due to endocarditis or patients transferred to neurological rehabilitation unit due to perioperative stroke, Fig. 1.

2.2. Intervention

The intervention was initiated prior to discharge and included a clinical examination comprising a focused chest ultrasound (to assess potential pleural or pericardial effusion), ECG-screening for rhythm disorders, a medical evaluation, a frailty test and patient education. Based on the included elements, a risk assessment was performed, Fig. 2.

Follow-up after discharge was planned according to the risk assessment and performed by specialised nurses with the possibility of consulting cardiologists and heart surgeons, when clinically indicated.

Patients considered being at high risk of readmission were seen more frequently in the outpatient clinic compared with patients being in intermediate or low risk of readmission, Fig. 2. The elements of the intervention have been described more thoroughly in the main outcome paper [1].

2.3. The historical control group

Patient in the historical control group received a short, unstructured telephone consultation within the first week after discharge from either the surgical ward or a local hospital.



Fig. 2. The Intervention; Risk assessment at discharge and planned consultations. Risk assessment and follow-up during the intervention period. a All consultations after discharge were nurseled with clinical back-up as needed (cardiologist or heart surgeon). b Individual follow-up according to symptoms leading to; no consultation, telephone- or out-patient consultation. Causes of first, unplanned cardiac hospital readmission.

Causes of readmission, n (%)	Of the overall population		Of patients readmitted	
	Intervention $(n = 308)$	Historical control $(n = 980)$	Intervention $(n = 70)$	Historical control $(n = 366)$
Pericardial effusion	10 (3.2)	68 (6.9)	10 (14.3)	68 (18.6)
Pleura effusion	5 (1.6)	30 (3.1)	5 (7.1)	30 (8.2)
Atrial fibrillation/flutter	15 (4.9)	61 (6.2)	15 (21.4)	61 (16.7)
Heart failure	5 (1.6)	18 (1.8)	5 (7.1)	18 (4.9)
Infections, all ^a	18 (5.8)	82 (8.4)	18 (25.7)	82 (22.4)
Cardiac symptoms without other specific cause ^b	5 (1.6)	37 (3.8)	5 (7.1)	37 (10.1)
Others, presumed to be related to the surgery ^c	12 (3.9)	70 (7.1)	12 (17.1)	70 (19.1)

Due to fewer than three cases in several groups, causes were summed.

^a Pneumonia, endocarditis, sternal infections and unspecified infections.

^b Angina pectoris, dyspnea, vertigo or syncope.

^c E.g., Anaemia, dysregulation of anti-coagulation therapy, new pacemaker implantation, stroke, acute coronary syndrome, reoperation, wound problems, gastro-intestinal bleeding, medical problems (not anti-coagulation), ventricular tachycardia.

All patients (in both intervention and control group), were referred back to general practitioner for removal of stitches. They furthermore underwent an echocardiography according to European guidelines [2] 4–6 weeks after surgery and were afterwards offered participation in cardiac rehabilitation.

2.4. Readmission and mortality

In this dataset, we defined a readmission as a new admission (with an overnight stay) occurring more than 24 hours after discharge and within 180 days after discharge. Readmissions included were unplanned readmissions due to cardiac causes or causes related to the surgery. Registration of readmissions, including causes of readmission, was based on electronic medical records. Causes of readmission were grouped into *pericardial effusion*, *pleural effusion*, *atrial fibrillation/flutter*, *heart failure*, *infections* (pneumonia, endocarditis, sternal infections and unspecified infections), *cardiac symptoms* without other specific cause (angina pectoris, dyspnea, vertigo or syncope) and others presumed related to the surgery (e.g., anemia, dysregulation of anti-coagulation therapy, new pacemaker implantation, stroke, acute coronary syndrome, re-operation, wound problems, gastro-intestinal bleeding, medical problems (not anti-coagulation) and ventricular tachycardia).

Data on all-cause mortality were obtained from electronic medical records.

Table 2

Sensitivity analyses, differences among groups.

	Intervention $(n = 308)$	Historical control (n = 980)	p*
Composite events (Event of first, unplanned readmission or all-cause mortality), n (%)	70 (23)	372 (38)	<0.001*
All-cause mortality, n (%)	5 (1.6)	18 (1.8)	0.805
Unplanned, cardiac readmission			
All, first unplanned, cardiac readmissions, n (%)	70 (23)	366 (37)	< 0.001*
Readmissions per readmitted patient, mean (SD)	2.3 (0.5)	2.4 (0.9)	0.187
Days readmitted per readmitted patient, mean (SD)	7.1 (9.4)	9.1 (14.5)	0.256
Planned, cardiac readmission			
All planned, cardiac readmissions, n (%)	12 (3.9)	47 (4.8)	0.510
All-cause readmissions			
All readmissions, n (%)	93 (30.2)	437 (44.6)	< 0.001*

Differences in means between the groups were tested using the t-test and differences in proportions between all diagnostic groups were tested with the Pearson χ^2 -test.

Significance level, p < 0.05.

2.5. Statistical analyses

Data were analysed using SPSS 24 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY). Continuous data (days readmitted) were presented as mean and standard deviation (SD) and compared using two-sample *t*-test. Categorical data (readmissions, causes of readmission and mortality) were presented as number of patients and percentages and compared using χ^2 (categorical data), Tables 1 and 2

The effect of the intervention was analysed using univariable Cox proportional hazard regression model in both the overall population and a propensity matched group [1]. The propensity score matching was performed with a 1:2 matching without replacement. We used the nearest-neighbour approach and a caliper width of 0.2 SD, as previously suggested [3,4]. The propensity model included: sex, age, acute/unplanned surgery, primary diagnosis, type of surgery, concomitant coronary artery bypass surgery, obstructive or restrictive lung disease, New York Heart Association class (NYHA), EuroScore II (logistic), estimated glomerular filtration rate, permanent pacemaker prior to surgery, atrial fibrillation and body mass index (BMI) [1].

In addition to the univariable model, multivariable Cox proportional hazard analyses were performed. All analyses of primary outcome were conducted according to the intention-to-treat principle. The propensity matched population and results of the multivariable Cox proportional hazard analyses are presented elsewhere [1].

We performed sensitivity-analyses to investigate other potential differences among the intervention and historical control group, including differences in number of unplanned/planned readmissions, all-cause readmission, all-cause mortality, mean length of stay per readmission, number of readmissions per patient and total number of readmissions, Table 2.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the patients for participating. Furthermore, the following grant supporters should be acknowledged for their financial support; Helsefonden, The Odense University Hospital PhD foundation, Ove William Buhl Olesen and Edith Buhl Olesen Foundation, and Kurt Bønnelycke and Grethe Bønnelycke Foundation funded this research. The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; or in the preparation, review, and approval of the manuscript.

Transparency document

Transparency document associated with this article can be found in the online version at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2019.103926.

References

- B. Borregaard, J.S. Dahl, L.P.S. Riber, et al., Effect of early, individualised and intensified follow-up after open heart valve surgery on unplanned cardiac hospital readmissions and all-cause mortality, Int. J. Cardiol. (2019), https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ijcard.2019.02.056, 2019/04/23.
- [2] H. Baumgartner, et al., 2017 ESC/EACTS Guidelines for the Management of Valvular Heart Disease: the Task Force for the Management of Valvular Heart Disease of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS), Eur. Heart J. 38 (36) (2017 Sep 21) 2739–2791.
- [3] P.C. Austin, Optimal caliper widths for propensity-score matching when estimating differences in means and differences in proportions in observational studies, Pharmaceut. Stat. 10 (2) (2011) 150–161.
- [4] P.R. Rosenbaum, D.B. Rubin, The central role of the propensity score in observational studies for causal effects, Biometrika 70 (1) (1983) 41–55.